

FACTORIZATION IN NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

Ulrich Krause

Department of Mathematics,
University of Bremen
krause@math.uni-bremen.de

Iberian meeting on numerical semigroups
Porto, March 17-19, 2008

S add abelian semigroup with 0

cancellative $x, y, z \in S \quad x + z = y + z \Rightarrow x = y$

torsion free $x, y \in S, n \geq 1 \quad nx = ny \Rightarrow x = y$

reduced $x, y \in S \quad x + y = 0 \Rightarrow x = y = 0.$

D integral domain with id

D[S] semigroup ring

Gilmer-Parker Theorem 1974

$D[S]$ factorial $\Leftrightarrow D$ factorial & S factorial
 UFD free

In particular S numerical monoid $\neq \mathbb{N}$

$D[S]$ is never factorial.

Question Does the semigroup ring over a numerical monoid possess any good factorization properties at all?

Cale factorization in semigroup M with mult
comm, $1 \in M$, cancell, M^\times units

M **inside factorial** with **base** $Q \subset M \setminus M^\times$
if every $x \in M \setminus M^\times$ has a unique factorization

$$x^{m(x)} = u \prod_{q \in Q} q^{x(q)}.$$

$m(x) \geq 1$ minimal unit $x(q) \geq 1$ for finitely many q

tame base $e(q) \frac{x(q)}{m(x)} \in \mathbb{N}$ all x , all q , $e(q) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

M **Cale semigroup**/monoid inside factorial
& tame base

domain R **Cale domain**

multiplicative semigroup R^* Cale semigroup

Cale factorization a substitute for

„factorization of ideals into prime ideals“.

K. Lisbon 1988, Chapman/Halter-Koch/K 2002,
Chapman/K 2005, K/Maney/Ponomarenko 2007.

Theorem K. 2004

$$D[S] \text{ Cale} \Leftrightarrow D \text{ Cale} \ \& \ S \text{ Cale} \\ \& \ \overline{D[S]} = \overline{D[S]}$$

root-closure of semigroup M with mult

$$\overline{M} = \{x \in \text{quot } M \mid x^n \in M, \text{ some } n \geq 1\}.$$

Corollary K field, S numerical semigroup $\neq \mathbb{N}$

$$K[S] \text{ Cale} \Leftrightarrow \overline{K[S]} = K[\mathbb{N}]$$

Proof K Cale since $K = K^\times, \overline{K} = K$

$S = \langle A \rangle$, A finite, $\gcd A = 1$, $a = \min A$

$$\text{Cale with } Q = \{a\} \quad m(x)x = ax(q), \ d = \gcd(x, a)$$
$$\qquad \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} | & & | \\ \frac{a}{d} & & \frac{x}{d} \end{array}$$

$$\overline{S} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid nx \in S, \text{ some } n \geq 1\} = \mathbb{N}. \quad \square$$

Lemma K field, S numerical semigroup $\neq \mathbb{N}$
 $\overline{K[S]} = K[\mathbb{N}] \Leftrightarrow K$ finite

Proof $\Rightarrow t \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S. 1 + X^t \in K[\mathbb{N}] \subseteq \overline{K[S]}$
 \Rightarrow some $n \ 1 + nX^t + \dots = (1 + X^t)^n \in K[S]$
 $\Rightarrow n = 0$ in $K \rightarrow \text{char } K > 0$
 $\Leftarrow K[\mathbb{N}]$ factorial, hence, root-closed,
 $\overline{K[S]} \subseteq K[\mathbb{N}]$.

Conversely, let $f = \sum r_m X^m \in K[\mathbb{N}], p = \text{char } K > 0$.
 $\Rightarrow f p^k = \sum r_m^{p^k} X^{m p^k}$ all k .
 S numerical implies $m p^k \in S$ for k big enough
 $\Rightarrow f p^k \in K[S]$ for k big enough
 $\Rightarrow f \in \overline{K[S]}$. \square

Theorem K field, S numerical semigroup $\neq \mathbb{N}$
 $K[S]$ Cale $\Leftrightarrow K$ finite

Proof Corollary & Lemma \square

Elasticity in numerical semigroup rings

M semigroup with mult, comm, $1 \in M$, cancell, atomic

elasticity

$$\rho(M) = \sup \left\{ \frac{m}{n} \mid x_1 \cdots x_m = y_1 \cdots y_n, \right. \\ \left. x_i, y_j \text{ atoms}, m, n \geq 1 \right\}$$

Theorem

D.F. Anderson/Chapman/Inman/Smith 1993

K field, S numerical semigroup $\neq \mathbb{N}$

- (i) $\rho(K[S])$ finite $\Leftrightarrow K$ finite
- (ii) For $S = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$, $\rho(K[S]) = \frac{1}{2}(d(K) + 2)$
 $d(K) =$ Davenport constant of $(K, +)$
 $= n(p - 1) + 1, p = \text{char } K, |K| = p^n.$

Theorem D.F. Anderson/Jenkins 19??

K field, $S = \langle n, n + 1, \dots, 2n - 1 \rangle, n \geq 1$

$$\rho(K[S]) = \frac{1}{2n}(nd(G_n(K)) + 3n - 2)$$

$G_n(K)$ additive finite group associated to K .

Questions

- explicit formula for $\rho(S)$, S num semigroup
- role of Cale structure in $K[S]$, K finite.

Embedding numerical semigroups

S, T semigroups $S \hookrightarrow T$ if $S \subseteq T$ and for $x, y \in S$

$$x \mid_S y \iff x \mid_T y.$$

For S numerical $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ but **not** $S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for $S \neq \mathbb{N}$

Question Good embedding $S \hookrightarrow T$ for S ?

Lemma $S \subset T, T$ factorial

$$(i) \quad S \hookrightarrow T \implies S = \overline{S}$$

(ii) If for each $t \in T$ exists $n_t \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n_t t \in S$:

$$S \hookrightarrow T \iff S = \overline{S}$$

(iii) S numerical semigroup, T factorial semigroup

$$S \hookrightarrow T \implies S = \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof (i) $x \in \overline{S}, x = y - z, y, z \in S, nx \in S$ (add)

$$\implies nz \mid_T ny. \quad T \text{ factorial} \implies z \mid_T y$$

$$\implies z \mid_S y \implies x \in S.$$

(ii) $y, z \in S, z \mid_T y \implies x = y - z \in T \implies nx \in S$

$$\implies x \in \overline{S} = S \implies z \mid_S y.$$

(iii) follows from (i) by $\overline{S} = \mathbb{N}$. □

But S numerical can be embedded into $K[S]$:

$$\varphi : S \rightarrow K[S], s \mapsto X^s$$

φ homomorphism $(S, +) \rightarrow (K[S], \cdot)$, injective

$$x \mid_S y \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x) \mid_{K[S]} \varphi(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in S$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{,,} \Rightarrow \text{“} \quad & y = x + z \Rightarrow \varphi(y) = \varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(z) \\ & \Rightarrow \varphi(x) \mid_{K[S]} \varphi(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{,,} \Leftarrow \text{,,} \quad & \varphi(x) \mid_{K[S]} \varphi(y), \varphi(x) = X^x, \varphi(y) = X^y \\ & \Rightarrow X^y = X^x \sum_{a \in S} r_a X^a = \sum_{a \in S} r_a X^{x+a} \\ & \Rightarrow r_a = 0 \text{ if } x + a \neq y, r_a = 1 \text{ if } x + a = y. \\ & \Rightarrow x \mid_S y. \end{aligned}$$

By identification

numerical $S \hookrightarrow K[S]$ **Cauchy domain**
for **any finite** field K .

Embedding subsets of S in $K[S]$

S numerical semigroup, $K = \mathbb{F}_2$

$P(S)$ set of finite subsets of S

$\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[S], S \mapsto X^s$ can be extended

$$\varphi : P(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2[S], A \mapsto \sum_{s \in A} X^s$$

φ is a bijection which induces

„domain structure“ on subsets.

For $A, B \in P(S)$ define

$$A \oplus B = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$$

$$\varphi(A \oplus B) = \varphi(A) + \varphi(B)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(A) + \varphi(B) &= \sum_{a \in A \setminus A \cap B} X^a + \sum_{b \in B \setminus A \cap B} X^b \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{c \in A \cap B} X^c = \varphi(A \oplus B). \end{aligned}$$

Ex. $A = \{s\} = s, B \subset S$

$$s \oplus B = \begin{cases} B \setminus s, & s \in B \\ B \cup s, & s \notin B \end{cases}$$

$$s \oplus t = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & s = t \\ s \cup t, & s \neq t \end{cases}$$

For $A, B \in P(S)$ define

$A \otimes B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B, (a, b) \text{ essentiell}\}$

(a, b) **essentiell** in $A \times B$ if

$\#\{(a', b') \in A \times B \mid a' + b' = a + b\}$ is odd

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(A \otimes B) &= \varphi(A) \cdot \varphi(B) \\
 \varphi(A) \cdot \varphi(B) &= \left(\sum_{a \in A} X^a \right) \left(\sum_{b \in B} X^b \right) \\
 &= \sum_{(a,b) \in A \times B} X^{a+b}, \text{ for } s(a,b) = a + b \\
 &= \sum_{c \in A+B} \sum_{s^{-1}(c)} X^c = \sum_{c \in A+B} \#s^{-1}(c) X^c \\
 &= \sum_{c \in A \otimes B} X^c = \varphi(A \otimes B).
 \end{aligned}$$

Ex. $A = \{s\} = s, B \subset S$

$s \otimes B = s + B$, especially $s \otimes t = s + t$

powers $A^n = A \otimes \dots \otimes A$

$S = \langle 2, 3 \rangle, A = \{2, 3\}$

$A^2 = \{4, 6\}, A^3 = \{6, 7, 8, 9\}, A^4 = \{8, 12\}$.