

*Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities
and
proportionally modular numerical semigroups*

A talk based on a joint work with J.C. Rosales

Iberian Numerical Semigroups Meeting - Porto 2008
March 17, 2008

Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities

$$ax \bmod b \leq cx$$

a, b, c positive integers.

- a : factor of the inequality
- b : modulus of the inequality
- c : proportion of the inequality

Set of solutions: $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} : ax \bmod b \leq cx\}$.

(Non-negative solutions)

Equivalence: Two proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities are equivalent if they have the same set of solutions.

System of proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_1 x \bmod b_1 \leq c_1 x \\ \vdots \\ a_m x \bmod b_m \leq c_m x \end{array} \right\}$$

a_i, b_i, c_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) positive integers.

Set of solutions } Analogous definitions
Equivalence }

Problem: To get an equivalent system in which the value for all b_i is the same one, which in addition is a prime integer.

Answer: Yes

Tool: Theory of proportionally modular numerical semigroups

- Intervals (Submonoids generated by closed intervals)

Gift: New characterization of numerical semigroups with a Toms' decomposition.

Tool: Theory of proportionally modular numerical semigroups

- Quotients of numerical semigroups

Proportionally modular numerical semigroup

$$S(a, b, c) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} : ax \bmod b \leq cx\}$$

$S(a, b, c)$ is a numerical semigroup.

Lemma.

1. $S(a, b, c) = S(a \bmod b, b, c)$.
2. If $c \geq a$ then $S(a, b, c) = \mathbb{N}$.

We reduce our study to the case $1 \leq c < a < b$.

Submonoids generated by closed intervals

Let T be the submonoid of $(\mathbb{R}_0^+, +)$ generated by the closed interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < \beta$.

$$S([\alpha, \beta]) = T \cap \mathbb{N}$$

$S([\alpha, \beta])$ is a numerical semigroup.

Lemma (R-GS-GG-UB'03). Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < \alpha < \beta$, and let x be a positive integer. Then $x \in S([\alpha, \beta])$ if and only if there exists a positive integer k such that $\frac{x}{k} \in [\alpha, \beta]$. Therefore, $x \notin S([\alpha, \beta])$ if and only if there exists an integer n for which $\frac{x}{n+1} < \alpha < \beta < \frac{x}{n}$.

Lemma (R-GS-GG-UB'03). Let a, b and c be positive integers such that $c < a < b$. Then $S(a, b, c) = S\left(\left[\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-c}\right]\right)$.

Conversely, if a_1, a_2, b_1 and b_2 are positive integers such that $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$, then $S\left(\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]\right) = S(a_1 b_2, b_1 b_2, a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)$.

Problem: To change the interval $I = \left[\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-c}\right]$ without changing the semigroup.

Example. Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

- $n \in S\left(\left[\frac{n}{n+1}, \frac{n}{(n+1)-1}\right]\right)$
- $n \notin S\left(\left[\frac{n}{n+\delta}, \frac{n}{n+1-\delta}\right]\right), \forall \delta \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Lemma. Let $I = [\alpha, \beta]$ be given with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $S([\alpha, \beta]) = S([\alpha - \delta, \beta + \delta])$ for every $\delta \in [0, \varepsilon)$.

Proof.

$$(\subseteq) \quad I \subseteq J \Rightarrow S(I) \subseteq S(J).$$

$$(\supseteq) \quad \bullet \quad \exists x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \text{ s.t. } x \in S([\alpha - \frac{\varepsilon}{n+2}, \beta + \frac{\varepsilon}{n+2}]) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\bullet \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists k_n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \text{ s.t. } \alpha - \frac{\varepsilon}{n+2} < \frac{x}{k_n} < \beta + \frac{\varepsilon}{n+2}.$$

$$\bullet \quad \alpha - \frac{\varepsilon}{n+2} \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} \Rightarrow k_n \leq \lceil \frac{2x}{\alpha} \rceil.$$

$$\bullet \quad k_n = k \quad \forall n \text{ (by passing to a subsequence)}.$$

$$\bullet \quad \alpha - \frac{\varepsilon}{n+2} \leq \frac{x}{k} \leq \beta + \frac{\varepsilon}{n+2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\bullet \quad x \in S([\alpha, \beta]).$$

$$* \quad \forall x \notin S([\alpha, \beta]) \exists \varepsilon_x > 0 \text{ s.t. } x \notin S([\alpha - \delta, \beta + \delta]) \quad \forall \delta \in [0, \varepsilon_x).$$

$$* \quad \varepsilon = \min \{ \varepsilon_x \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S([\alpha, \beta]) \}.$$

Lemma. Let a, b and c be positive integers such that $c < a < b$.

Then

$$S(a, b, c) = S\left(\left[\frac{(b-a)b+1}{(b-a)a+1}, \frac{(a-c)b+1}{(a-c)^2}\right]\right).$$

Corollary. Let a, b and c be positive integers such that $c < a < b$.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$\frac{(b-a)b+1}{(b-a)a+1} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{b}{a} \leq \frac{b}{a-c} \leq \beta \leq \frac{(a-c)b+1}{(a-c)^2}.$$

Then $S(a, b, c) = S([\alpha, \beta])$.

Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities

Proposition. Let S be a proportionally modular numerical semigroup. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq N$, S is the set of integer solutions of a proportionally modular Diophantine inequality with modulus n .

Proof.

- * $S = \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow S = S(1, n, 1), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1.$
- * $S \neq \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \exists a, b (1 < a < b)$ s.t. $S = S([a, b]).$
 - $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $S = S([a - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon])$ and $1 < a - \varepsilon.$
 - $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$n \geq N \Rightarrow \exists a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } a - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \frac{n}{a_1} \leq a, b \leq \frac{n}{a_2} \leq b + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$
 - $S([a, b]) \subseteq S\left(\left[\frac{n}{a_1}, \frac{n}{a_2}\right]\right) \subseteq S\left(\left[a - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, b + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right]\right) = S([a, b]).$
 - $a_2 < a_1 < n \Rightarrow S = S\left(\left[\frac{n}{a_1}, \frac{n}{a_2}\right]\right) = S(a_1, n, a_1 - a_2).$

Corollary. Let S be a proportionally modular numerical semigroup. Then there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $c < a < b$, b is a prime number, and $S = S(a, b, c)$.

System of proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} a_1 x \bmod b_1 \leq c_1 x \\ \vdots \\ a_n x \bmod b_n \leq c_n x \end{array} \right\}$$

Remark. The set of nonnegative integer solutions is the numerical semigroup $S = \bigcap_{i=1}^n S(a_i, b_i, c_i)$.

Remark. If $A = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$, $B = \prod_{i=1}^n b_i$ and $C = \prod_{i=1}^n c_i$, then

$$S(a_i, b_i, c_i) = S\left(A, \frac{Ab_i}{a_i}, \frac{Ac_i}{a_i}\right) = S\left(\frac{Ba_i}{B_i}, B, \frac{Bc_i}{b_i}\right) = S\left(\frac{Ca_i}{c_i}, \frac{Cb_i}{c_i}, C\right).$$

Consequence. There exists an equivalent system with the same factor (modulus or proportion) for all the inequalities in the system.

Corollary. Every system of proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities is equivalent to a system of proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities in which all the inequalities have the same modulus, which in addition is a prime integer.

Quotients of numerical semigroups

$$\frac{S}{d} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid dx \in S\}$$

$\frac{S}{d}$ is a numerical semigroup.

Lemma (R-UB'06). Let $n_1, n_2, d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that n_1, n_2 are relatively primes. Then $\frac{\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle}{d}$ is a proportionally modular numerical semigroup. Conversely, every proportionally modular numerical semigroup can be represented in this form.

Lemma (R-GS'08). Let $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $1 < \frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$. If $\gcd\{b_1, b_2\} = 1$, then

$$S\left(\left(\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right)\right) = \frac{\langle b_1, b_2 \rangle}{a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1}.$$

Proposition. Let S be a proportionally modular numerical semigroup. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq N$, we can represent S as a quotient of $\langle n, n+1 \rangle$ by a positive integer.

Proof.

- * $S = \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow S = \frac{\langle n, n+1 \rangle}{n}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1.$
- * $S \neq \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \exists a, b (1 < a < b)$ s.t. $S = S([a, b]).$
 - $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $S = S([a - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon])$ and $1 < a - \varepsilon.$
 - $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$n \geq N \Rightarrow \exists a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } a - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \frac{n}{a_1} \leq a, b \leq \frac{n+1}{a_2} \leq b + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$
 - $S([a, b]) \subseteq S\left(\left[\frac{n}{a_1}, \frac{n+1}{a_2}\right]\right) \subseteq S\left(\left[a - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, b + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right]\right) = S([a, b]).$
 - $1 < \frac{n}{a_1} \Rightarrow S = S\left(\left[\frac{n}{a_1}, \frac{n+1}{a_2}\right]\right) = \frac{\langle n, n+1 \rangle}{(n+1)a_1 - na_2}.$

Corollary. Let $a, d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\langle \frac{a, a+1}{d} \rangle$ is a proportionally modular numerical semigroup. Conversely, every proportionally modular numerical semigroup can be represented in this form.

Toms' decompositions

Definition (Toms'03). A numerical semigroup S has a Toms' decomposition if there exist $q_1, \dots, q_n, m_1, \dots, m_n, L \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ fulfilling that

1. $\gcd\{q_i, m_i\} = \gcd\{L, q_i\} = \gcd\{L, m_i\} = 1$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,
2. $S = \frac{\langle q_1, m_1 \rangle}{L} \cap \dots \cap \frac{\langle q_n, m_n \rangle}{L}$.

Theorem (R-GS'08). Every system proportionally modular numerical semigroup admits a Toms' decomposition.

Lemma (R-GS-GG-UB'03). Let $n_1, n_2, u, v \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $un_2 - vn_1 = 1$. Then

$$\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid un_2x \bmod n_1n_2 \leq x\}.$$

Corollary. Let $a, d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid \{d_1x, \dots, d_nx\} \subseteq \langle a, a+1 \rangle\}$$

is a numerical semigroup having a Toms' decomposition. Conversely, every numerical semigroup having a Toms' decomposition can be represented in this form.

Corollary. Let $a, d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$S = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{N} \mid \begin{array}{l} (a+1)d_1x \bmod a(a+1) \leq d_1x, \\ \vdots \\ (a+1)d_nx \bmod a(a+1) \leq d_nx. \end{array} \right\}$$

is a numerical semigroup having a Toms' decomposition. Conversely, every numerical semigroup having a Toms' decomposition can be represented in this form.

- A.M. Robles-Pérez and J.C. Rosales, *Equivalent proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities*. Arch. Math. 90 (2008), 24–30.
- J.C. Rosales and P.A. García-Sánchez, Numerical semigroups having a Toms decomposition, Canad. Math. Bull. 51 (2008), 134–139.
- J.C. Rosales, P.A. García-Sánchez, J.I. García-García and J.M. Urbano-Blanco, *Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities*. J. Number Theory 103 (2003), 281–294.
- J.C. Rosales and J.M. Urbano-Blanco, *Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities and full semigroups*. Semigroup Forum 72 (2006), 362–374.
- A. Toms, Strongly perforated K_0 -groups of simple C^* -algebras. Canad. Math. Bull. 46 (2003) 457–472.