

*The smallest positive integer that is solution of a  
proportionally modular Diophantine inequality*

P. Vasco

Iberian meeting on numerical semigroups, Porto 2008

J. C. Rosales and P. Vasco, The smallest positive integer that is solution of a proportionally modular Diophantine inequality, *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, to appear.

# AIM

Given two integers  $m$  and  $n$  with  $n \neq 0$ , we denote by  $m \bmod n$  the remainder of the division of  $m$  by  $n$ . A proportionally modular Diophantine inequality is an expression of the form  $ax \bmod b \leq cx$ , where  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are positive integers.

*Our principal aim is*

To give an algorithm that allows us to calculate the smallest positive integer that is solution of a proportionally modular Diophantine inequality.

Given the proportionally modular Diophantine inequality  $ax \bmod b \leq cx$ , we denote by  $S(a, b, c)$  the set of integer solutions of this inequality,  $S(a, b, c) = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax \bmod b \leq cx\}$ . We will refer to these type of semigroups as proportionally modular numerical semigroups.

J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García and J. M. Urbano-Blanco, Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities, J. Number Theory 103 (2003), 281-294.

### *Proposition 1*

1. Let  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  be positive integers such that  $c < a < b$  and let  $T$  be the submonoid of  $\mathbb{Q}_0^+$  generated by  $\left[\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-c}\right]$ . Then  $T \cap \mathbb{N} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax \pmod{b} \leq cx\}$ .
2. Let  $a_1$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $a_2$  and  $b_2$  be positive integers such that  $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$  and let  $T$  be the submonoid of  $\mathbb{Q}_0^+$  generated by  $\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]$ . Then  $T \cap \mathbb{N} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_1 b_2 x \pmod{b_1 b_2} \leq (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)x\}$ .

J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García and J. M. Urbano-Blanco, Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities, J. Number Theory 103 (2003), 281-294.

### *Proposition 1*

1. Let  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  be positive integers such that  $c < a < b$  and let  $T$  be the submonoid of  $\mathbb{Q}_0^+$  generated by  $\left[\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-c}\right]$ . Then  $T \cap \mathbb{N} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax \pmod{b} \leq cx\}$ .
  2. Let  $a_1$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $a_2$  and  $b_2$  be positive integers such that  $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$  and let  $T$  be the submonoid of  $\mathbb{Q}_0^+$  generated by  $\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]$ . Then  $T \cap \mathbb{N} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_1 b_2 x \pmod{b_1 b_2} \leq (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)x\}$ .
- $T \cap \mathbb{N}$  is the *proportionally modular numerical semigroup associated to the interval*  $\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]$  and we will denote it by  $S\left(\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]\right)$ .

J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García and J. M. Urbano-Blanco, Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities, J. Number Theory 103 (2003), 281-294.

### *Lemma 2*

Let  $\alpha < \beta$  be positive rational numbers. Then a positive integer  $x$  belongs to  $S([\alpha, \beta])$  if and only if there exists a positive integer  $y$  such that  $\alpha \leq \frac{x}{y} \leq \beta$ .

### *Lemma 3*

If  $S([\alpha, \beta])$  has multiplicity  $m \neq 1$ , then there exists a unique positive integer  $t$  such that  $\alpha \leq \frac{m}{t} \leq \beta$ .



If  $I$  is a closed interval of  $\mathbb{Q}_0^+$  such that  $S(I) \neq \mathbb{N}$ , then we call the “small point” of  $I$ , and denote it by  $P(I)$ , the fraction  $\frac{m}{t}$ , where  $m$  is the multiplicity of  $S(I)$  and  $t$  is the unique positive integer such that  $\frac{m}{t} \in I$ .

If  $I$  is a closed interval of  $\mathbb{Q}_0^+$  such that  $S(I) \neq \mathbb{N}$ , then we call the “small point” of  $I$ , and denote it by  $P(I)$ , the fraction  $\frac{m}{t}$ , where  $m$  is the multiplicity of  $S(I)$  and  $t$  is the unique positive integer such that  $\frac{m}{t} \in I$ .

#### *Lemma 4*

Assume that  $S([\alpha, \beta]) \neq \mathbb{N}$  and  $P([\alpha, \beta]) = \frac{m}{t}$ . If  $\frac{s}{x} \in [\alpha, \beta]$ , then  $t \leq x$ .

If  $I$  is a closed interval of  $\mathbb{Q}_0^+$  such that  $S(I) \neq \mathbb{N}$ , then we call the “small point” of  $I$ , and denote it by  $P(I)$ , the fraction  $\frac{m}{t}$ , where  $m$  is the multiplicity of  $S(I)$  and  $t$  is the unique positive integer such that  $\frac{m}{t} \in I$ .

#### *Lemma 4*

Assume that  $S([\alpha, \beta]) \neq \mathbb{N}$  and  $P([\alpha, \beta]) = \frac{m}{t}$ . If  $\frac{s}{x} \in [\alpha, \beta]$ , then  $t \leq x$ .

#### *Lemma 5*

Let us assume that  $S([\alpha, \beta]) \neq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P([\alpha, \beta]) = \frac{m}{t}$ . Then  $S([a + \alpha, a + \beta]) \neq \mathbb{N}$  and  $P([a + \alpha, a + \beta]) = \frac{m+ta}{t}$ .

Given a rational number  $x$  we denote by  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  the integer  $\max\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \leq x\}$  and by  $\lceil x \rceil$  the integer  $\min\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \leq z\}$ . The following two results follow easily.

### *Lemma 6*

If  $S([\alpha, \beta]) \neq \mathbb{N}$  and  $[\alpha, \beta]$  contains an integer, then  $P([\alpha, \beta]) = \frac{\lceil \alpha \rceil}{1}$ .

### *Lemma 7*

If  $[\alpha, \beta]$  does not contain an integer, then  $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor = \lfloor \beta \rfloor$ .

### Proposition 8

Let  $a_1, b_1, a_2$  and  $b_2$  be positive integers such that  $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$ ,  $S\left(\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]\right) \neq \mathbb{N}$  and  $\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]$  contains no integers. Then  $\frac{a_2}{b_2 \bmod a_2} < \frac{a_1}{b_1 \bmod a_1}$  and  $S\left(\left[\frac{a_2}{b_2 \bmod a_2}, \frac{a_1}{b_1 \bmod a_1}\right]\right) \neq \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, if  $P\left(\left[\frac{a_2}{b_2 \bmod a_2}, \frac{a_1}{b_1 \bmod a_1}\right]\right) = \frac{m}{t}$ , then  $P\left(\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]\right) = \frac{t + \left\lfloor \frac{b_1}{a_1} \right\rfloor m}{m}$ .

### Proposition 8

Let  $a_1, b_1, a_2$  and  $b_2$  be positive integers such that  $\frac{b_1}{a_1} < \frac{b_2}{a_2}$ ,  $S\left(\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]\right) \neq \mathbb{N}$  and  $\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]$  contains no integers. Then  $\frac{a_2}{b_2 \bmod a_2} < \frac{a_1}{b_1 \bmod a_1}$  and  $S\left(\left[\frac{a_2}{b_2 \bmod a_2}, \frac{a_1}{b_1 \bmod a_1}\right]\right) \neq \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, if  $P\left(\left[\frac{a_2}{b_2 \bmod a_2}, \frac{a_1}{b_1 \bmod a_1}\right]\right) = \frac{m}{t}$ , then  $P\left(\left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]\right) = \frac{t + \left\lfloor \frac{b_1}{a_1} \right\rfloor m}{m}$ .

Let  $I$  be a closed interval of positive rational numbers not containing any integer. We define its “reduced interval”, and denote it by  $R(I)$ , in the following way. If  $I = \left[\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2}\right]$  with  $a_1, b_1, a_2$  and  $b_2$  positive integers, then  $R(I) = \left[\frac{a_2}{b_2 \bmod a_2}, \frac{a_1}{b_1 \bmod a_1}\right]$ .

Given a closed interval  $I$  of positive rational numbers we define recursively the following sequence of closed intervals:

$$I_1 = I$$

$$I_{n+1} = R(I_n) \text{ if } I_n \text{ contains no integers, otherwise } I_{n+1} = I_n.$$

We will refer to  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$  as the sequence of intervals associated to  $I$ . Observe that if  $I_k$  contains an integer, then  $I_n = I_k$ , for every  $n \geq k$ .

The Euclides algorithm for calculating the greatest common divisor of two positive integers.

Input:  $b$  and  $a$  positive integers.

Output: the greatest common divisor of  $b$  and  $a$ .

Begin

$(x, y) := (b, a)$

While  $y \neq 0$  do  $(x, y) := (y, x \bmod y)$

Return  $x$

End.



The Euclides algorithm for calculating the greatest common divisor of two positive integers.

Input:  $b$  and  $a$  positive integers.

Output: the greatest common divisor of  $b$  and  $a$ .

Begin

$(x, y) := (b, a)$

While  $y \neq 0$  do  $(x, y) := (y, x \bmod y)$

Return  $x$

End.

If  $I = \left[ \frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2} \right]$  with  $a_1, b_1, a_2$  and  $b_2$  positive integers, then

$$R(I) = \left[ \frac{a_2}{b_2 \bmod a_2}, \frac{a_1}{b_1 \bmod a_1} \right].$$

### *Lemma 9*

Let  $I$  be a closed interval and let  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$  be the sequence of intervals associated to  $I$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $I_k$  contains an integer.

### Example 10

Let  $I = \left[ \frac{33}{13}, \frac{66}{25} \right]$ . Let us construct the sequence of intervals associated to  $I$ .

$$I_1 = \left[ \frac{33}{13}, \frac{66}{25} \right], \quad I_2 = \left[ \frac{25}{16}, \frac{13}{7} \right], \quad I_3 = \left[ \frac{7}{6}, \frac{16}{9} \right], \quad I_4 = \left[ \frac{9}{7}, \frac{6}{1} \right].$$

Observe that  $I_4$  already contains an integer. Therefore  $I_n = I_4$  for all  $n \geq 4$ .

### Example 10

Let  $I = \left[ \frac{33}{13}, \frac{66}{25} \right]$ . Let us construct the sequence of intervals associated to  $I$ .

$$I_1 = \left[ \frac{33}{13}, \frac{66}{25} \right], \quad I_2 = \left[ \frac{25}{16}, \frac{13}{7} \right], \quad I_3 = \left[ \frac{7}{6}, \frac{16}{9} \right], \quad I_4 = \left[ \frac{9}{7}, \frac{6}{1} \right].$$

Observe that  $I_4$  already contains an integer. Therefore  $I_n = I_4$  for all  $n \geq 4$ .

$(33,13), (13,7), (7,6), (6,1), (1,0)$

$(66,25), (25,16), (16,9), (9,7), (7,2), (2,1), (1,0)$

If  $I = \left[ \frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_2}{a_2} \right]$  with  $a_1, b_1, a_2$  and  $b_2$  positive integers, then

$$R(I) = \left[ \frac{a_2}{b_2 \bmod a_2}, \frac{a_1}{b_1 \bmod a_1} \right].$$

If  $I$  is a closed interval with no integers in it, then as a consequence of Lemma 7, we have that  $\lfloor x \rfloor = \lfloor y \rfloor$ , for all  $x, y \in I$ . This integer is denoted by  $\lfloor I \rfloor$ .

### *Lemma 11*

Let  $I$  be a closed interval such that  $S(I) \neq \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$  be the sequence of intervals associated to  $I$ . Let  $l$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $I_l$  contains an integer. For  $k \in \{2, \dots, l\}$ ,  $P(I_{k-1}) = \frac{1}{P(I_k)} + \lfloor I_{k-1} \rfloor$ .

## Algorithm 12

Input:  $I$  a closed interval of positive rational numbers such that  $S(I) \neq \mathbb{N}$ .

Output: The multiplicity of the semigroup  $S(I)$ .

1. Compute the sequence of intervals associated to  $I$  until we find the first interval of the sequence that contains an integer. Let us denote such intervals by  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_l$ .
2. If  $I_l = [\alpha, \beta]$ , then  $P(I_l) = \frac{[\alpha]}{1}$ .
3. Calculate  $P(I_1)$  by applying successively that  $P(I_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{P(I_n)} + \lfloor I_{n-1} \rfloor$ .
4. The multiplicity of  $S(I)$  is the numerator of  $P(I_1)$ .

### Example 13

Let us calculate the multiplicity of the semigroup  $S\left(\left[\frac{33}{13}, \frac{66}{25}\right]\right)$ . We already made the computation the sequence of intervals associated to  $I = \left[\frac{33}{13}, \frac{66}{25}\right]$  until we find the first term of the sequence that contains an integer in the Example 10:

$$I_1 = \left[\frac{33}{13}, \frac{66}{25}\right], \quad I_2 = \left[\frac{25}{16}, \frac{13}{7}\right], \quad I_3 = \left[\frac{7}{6}, \frac{16}{9}\right], \quad I_4 = \left[\frac{9}{7}, \frac{6}{1}\right].$$

By applying Lemma 6, we know that  $P(I_4) = \frac{2}{1}$ . Now successively applying Lemma 11 we have:

$$P(I_3) = \frac{1}{2} + 1 = \frac{3}{2}, \quad P(I_2) = \frac{2}{3} + 1 = \frac{5}{3}, \quad P(I_1) = \frac{3}{5} + 2 = \frac{13}{5}.$$

Therefore, 13 is the multiplicity of  $S\left(\left[\frac{33}{13}, \frac{66}{25}\right]\right)$ .

## Proposition 1

- Let  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  be positive integers such that  $c < a < b$  and let  $T$  be the submonoid of  $\mathbb{Q}_0^+$  generated by  $\left[\frac{b}{a}, \frac{b}{a-c}\right]$ . Then  $T \cap \mathbb{N} = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax \pmod{b} \leq cx\}$ .

## Example 15

We find the smallest positive integer that satisfies the inequality  $231x \pmod{938} \leq 3x$ . To this end, by using Proposition 1, it suffices to calculate the multiplicity of  $S\left(\left[\frac{938}{231}, \frac{938}{228}\right]\right)$ .

- $l_1 = \left[\frac{938}{231}, \frac{938}{228}\right]$ ,  $l_2 = \left[\frac{228}{26}, \frac{231}{14}\right]$ .
- As  $l_2$  contains an integer,  $P(l_2) = \frac{9}{1}$ .
- $P(l_1) = \frac{1}{9} + 4 = \frac{37}{9}$ .
- 37 is the multiplicity of  $S\left(\left[\frac{938}{231}, \frac{938}{228}\right]\right)$ .

Therefore 37 is the smallest positive integer that is solution of the inequality  $231x \pmod{938} \leq 3x$ .



### *Proposition 19*

Let  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$  and  $n_3$  be positive integers such that  $\gcd\{n_1, n_2\} = 1$  and let  $u$  be a positive integer such that  $un_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n_1}$ . If  $m$  is the multiplicity of the semigroup  $S(un_2n_3, n_1n_2, n_3)$ , then  $mn_3$  is the smallest positive multiple of  $n_3$  that belongs to  $\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle$ .

## Algorithm 20

Input:  $n_1, n_2$  and  $n_3$  positive integers such that  $\gcd\{n_1, n_2\} = 1$ .

Output:  $\xi = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \mid kn_3 \in \langle n_1, n_2 \rangle\}$ .

1. Calculate, using the extended Euclides algorithm, a positive integer  $u$  such that  $un_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n_1}$ .
2. Calculate by applying Algorithm 12 the multiplicity  $m$  of

$$S(un_2n_3, n_1n_2, n_3) = S(un_2n_3 \pmod{n_1n_2}, n_1n_2, n_3).$$

3. Return  $m$ .

## Example 21

Let us calculate the smallest positive multiple of 37 that belongs to  $\langle 68, 79 \rangle$ .

1. By applying the extended Euclides algorithm we calculate  $u \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $79 \cdot u \equiv 1 \pmod{68}$ . Consider  $u = 31$ .
2. Let us calculate the multiplicity of  $S(31 \cdot 79 \cdot 37, 68 \cdot 79, 37) = S(90613, 5372, 37) = S(4661, 5372, 37)$ .

The sequence of intervals associated to  $l = \left[ \frac{5372}{4661}, \frac{5372}{4624} \right]$  is

$$l_1 = \left[ \frac{5372}{4661}, \frac{5372}{4624} \right], \quad l_2 = \left[ \frac{4624}{748}, \frac{4661}{711} \right], \quad l_3 = \left[ \frac{711}{395}, \frac{748}{136} \right].$$

As  $l_3$  contains an integer,  $P(l_3) = \frac{2}{1}$ . Then  $P(l_2) = \frac{1}{2} + 6 = \frac{13}{2}$  and  $P(l_1) = \frac{2}{13} + 1 = \frac{15}{13}$ . Therefore the multiplicity of  $S(4661, 5372, 37)$  is 15.

3. Thus  $15 \cdot 37$  is the smallest positive multiple of 37 that belongs to  $\langle 68, 79 \rangle$ .

*The Frobenius number and the number of gaps of a numerical semigroup generated by three positive integers*

J. C. Rosales and P. A. García-Sánchez, Numerical semigroups with embedding dimension three, *Archiv Math (Basel)* 83 (2004), 488-496.

J. C. Rosales, M. Ballejos, Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities and Stern-Brocot tree.