XXIV. Directions for making a Machine for finding the Roots of Equations univerfally, with the Manner of using it: By the Rev. Mr. Rowning, to John Bevis, M. D. F. R. S.

SIR,

Read May 3, PERUSING a discourse in the me-moirs of the Royal Academy at Petersmoirs of the Royal Academy at Petersburgh, Tome vii. page 211, by the learned John Andrew de Segner, containing an universal method of discovering the roots of equations, which you was fo kind as to recommend to my confideration; I found, that the author's method, as you observed to me, confifted in finding feveral ordinates of a parabolic curve, fuch, that its abscissas being taken equal to any affumed values of the unknown quantity in the equation, the ordinates corresponding to those abscissas, should be equal to the values of all the terms in the equation (when brought to one side) that is, in other words, in finding several ordinates of a parabolic curve defined by the equation proposed: in which case, as is well known, if a curve be drawn through the extremities of the faid ordinates, the points upon the axis, where the curve shall cut it, will necessarily give the several values of the real roots of the equation; and the several points, where the curve shall approach the base, but shall return without reaching it, will shew the *impossible* ones.

This is a method I myself fell into ten or twelve years ago, and have constantly used for finding the roots of fuch equations as I have had occasion to confider. But his method is preferable to mine in one respect, viz. that whereas I always compute the value of the ordinates in numbers, he finds them by drawing certain right lines; however, when there are both possible and impossible roots in an equation, as generally there are, these methods are both of them extremely embarraffing: the learned author therefore wishes, that some method might be thought of, whereby fuch curves, as we are now speaking of, might in all cases be described by local motion; but this, he tells us, he looked upon as so very difficult a task, that he never attempted it. Qued ad descriptionem attinet, says he, motum excogitare, quo tales accurate defignari possunt omnes [hujusmodi curva] admodum difficile judico, quare id neque tentavi. This hint, however, convinced me, that the thing was possible; I therefore determined to endeavour it.

I foon found, that if rulers were properly centered, and so combined together, that they should always continue representatives of the several right lines, by which he discovers the abovementioned ordinates, upon moving the first, a point or pencil, so fixed as to be carried along perpetually by the intersection of the first and last rulers, would describe the required curve, let the number of dimensions in the equation be what it will; only the greater that number, the greater must be the number of the rulers made use Vol. LX.

of. And this appeared to me so obvious, that I wondered, neither the learned author, who seems to have the thing much at heart, nor any body else since the publication thereof, saw it.

Let the equation to be refolved, be a - bx + cxx

+dxxx, &c.=0.

Upon the line ZZ, as a base, in either the first or fecond figure, draw the lines SS and RR perpendicu'ar thereto, at any distance taken at pleasure from each other. Then upon the line SS in either figure, TAB. VIII. fet off the lines OA, AB, BC, CD, &c. proportionable to the coefficients a, b, c, d, &c. in the equation, remembering to take each line upwards from the extremity of the last, when the coefficient, it is to represent, is affirmative; but downwards from the faid extremity, when the coefficient is negative. Then through the extremity of the last of the lines OA, AB, BC, &c. which in our case is D, draw the line D c, parallel to the base  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}$ , and through c, where Dc interfects RR, draw cC; and parallel to SS, and at any distance from it taken at pleasure, draw MM: then parallel to Dc, and where Cc interfects M M, draw the line kb; through b, where this last line intersects RR, draw bB; parallel also to Dc, and where bB intersects MM, draw la; and through a, where la interfects RR, draw a A. This done, let SS, RR and Cc, be supposed to represent three rulers with grooves or notches cut quite through them, of fuch form as is represented Fig. 3. and let those rulers be screwed down in their respective places SS, RR, and Cc, to a plane or frame of fufficient fize.

Then

Then let other rulers of like form, as Bb, Aa, &c. be moveable upon the centers B, A, &c. and let those centers themselves be moveable upwards and downwards in the groove or notch in the ruler SS, and in fuch manner, that the centers B and A may be placed upon one another, or upon C, if occasion requires, and let those centers be screwed down in their proper places, viz. the center A at A, the center B at B, &c. Then let kb and la represent other moveable rulers, fuch as the former, and fo confined that they shall always continue parallel to themselves and to the line Dc; and let MM represent another ruler of like form. And let the rulers kb and MM be connected with the fixed ruler Cc by a fliding pin passing through the intersection of their notches at q, and let the rulers kb, Bb, la and Aa be connected with one another and with MM and RR, by like pins passing through their notches at b, r, a, and s; and let the last of these pins s, have the point of a pencil fixed therein; then, I say, that if the ruler MM be moved backwards or forwards, from or towards SS, and always held parallel thereto (or be fo confined as to be capable of moving in no other manner) the pencil s shall describe the required And the distances from the point O, at which that pencil shall cross the base ZZ, on the right hand fide of SS, shall denote the affirmative roots of the equation; and the distances from the fame point O, where it shall cross the said base on the left hand fide of SS, shall express the negative ones; and the places, where it shall approach the faid base, but shall return without reaching it, shall indicate the impossible ones; the faid distances being Ii 2 always

always to be estimated on a scale on which the assumed line D c is unity.

Demonst. Since the lines OA, AB, BC, &c. are to be taken proportional to the coefficients a, b, c, &c. let us suppose the first of them, viz. OA, to be taken equal to the first coefficient a, or to any part of it taken at pleasure; suppose for instance, to the nth part, that is, to  $\frac{a}{n}$ ; then, to preserve the above-mentioned proportionality, the next viz. AB will be equal to  $\frac{b}{n}$ , BC will be equal to  $\frac{c}{n}$ , and CD to  $\frac{d}{n}$ , &c. Call OQ or its equal DP, x; then Dc, as above-mentioned, being taken equal to unity, Pc will be equal to 1—x, and DC being equal to  $\frac{d}{n}$ , and the triangles DCc and Pqc being fimilar, we have this proportion, viz.  $1:1-x::\frac{d}{n}:\frac{d-dx}{n}=Pq$  or Dk; but kB is equal BC+CD-Dk, that is, to  $\frac{c}{n} + \frac{d}{n}$  $-\frac{d-dx}{n}, \text{ that is, to } \frac{c+dx}{n}; \text{ and by fimilar triangles,}$ as kb:qb::kB:qr, that is, in fymbols, as 1:1-x  $::\frac{c+dx}{n}; \frac{c+dx-cx-dxx}{n}=qr \text{ or } kl: \text{ but } Al \text{ is equal}$ to AD-Dk-kl, that is, in fymbols, to  $\frac{b}{n} + \frac{c}{n} + \frac{d}{n}$   $\frac{d-dx}{n} - \frac{c+dx-cx-dxx}{n}$ , that is, to  $\frac{b+cx+dxx}{n}$ ; and by fimilar triangles, la:ra::Al:rs; in fymbols 1:1-x::  $\frac{b+cx+dxx}{n}$ :  $\frac{b+cx+dxx-bx-cxx-dxxx}{n}$ =rs; Qs therefore, which by the figure is equal to

QP-Pq-qr-rs, is equal to  $\frac{a+b+c+d-d-dx}{n}$   $\frac{c+dx-cx-dxx}{n} = \frac{b+cx+dxx-bx-cxx-dxxx}{n}$ 

that is, to  $\frac{a+bx+cxx+dxxx}{n}$ ; confequently, when Qs is nothing, that is, when the curve described by s, cuts the base,  $\frac{a+bx+cxx+dx^3}{n}$  will be equal to nothing, and therefore equal also to a+bx+cxx+dxxx; this last being also, from the equation proposed, equal to nothing; Qs therefore in those circumstances will be equal to a+bx+cxx+dxxx; and confequently whatever value of x or OQ renders a + bx+cxx+dxxx equal to nothing, will render Qs equal to nothing: but every value of x that renders a + bx+cxx+dxxx equal to nothing, is a root of the proposed equation a+bx+cxx+dxxx=0; consequently the curve will cross the base ZZ at every real root of that equation, whether negative or affirmative, and therefore, as every one acquainted with curve lines knows, will attempt to do so, but not quite reach it, at every impossible one. Q, E. D.

This demonstration is adapted only to an equation of three dimensions; but it is easy to see, it may be

extended to any other.

Note. To obtain the negative roots, the rulers must be extended to the lest of the line SS, as represented fig. 2. where they are denoted by the same letters as in the other figure; wz. the ruler Cc must be extended from c to q; the ruler Bb from b to r, and a A from a to s, and onwards towards the lest, the two last turning upon the centers A, B, in the fixed line SS.

It is not necessary, that the curve should be deficibed with accuracy, or even that it should fall upon the plane or frame, except where it crosses or attempts to cross the base; and therefore there will arise no inconveniency in this respect from taking the lines OA, AB, &c. large. But the immoveable rulers OD and Tc must be fixed so near together, that, their distance Dc or OT, being reckoned unity, the base OT extended to the extremity of the plane to the right, may take in all the assumptive roots: and when extended to the lest, may take in all the negative ones.

There is one thing more, very well worth observing, viz, that if you have an equation of this fort xxx - 5xx + 1200x + 9000 = 0 (where you may obferve, that the coefficients unity, 5,1200 and 9000 are so very different from each other, that it would be difficult to fet them off in due proportion upon the line OD) you may reduce them into a more manageable form, by the following contrivance: inflead of each x in the equation put 10x, 20x or 100x, suppose 20x; then instead of xxx you will have 8000xxx, instead of -5xx you will have - 2000xx, &c. and the whole equation will stand thus,  $8000 \times x \times -2000 \times x + 24000 \times +9000 = 0$ ; then divide each term by 100, and you bring it to 8xxx - 2xx + 24x + 9 = 0, a much more tractable equation than the other: but then it must be remembered, that as you made x 20 times less than it was, the roots when discovered will be so many times less than the true ones, and therefore each must be multiplied by that number 20.

The following observations relative to the application of the abovementioned rulers may be useful:

1. The roots of equation are of three forts, affirmative, negative, and impossible; which last are formetimes called imaginary.

2. Every equation contains as many roots as it has dimensions.

3. The impossible roots go by pairs. Thus, if an equation has an impossible root of this form a+b  $\sqrt{-1}$ , it has another impossible one of this, viz.  $a-b\sqrt{-1}$ , which may be called its fellow: from hence it follows, that every equation, if it has any impossible roots, has either two, four, or fix, &c. that is, an even number of them: and every time the curve described by the rulers abovementioned approaches the base, and leaves it again without croffing it, it implies one impossible root and its fellow; so that if it approaches the base in this manner three times, it implies, that the equation has fix impossible roots. And this is all the rulers can do with regard to this fort of roots; they cannot shew what those are, but only kow many there are. I shall direct to a method of finding what they are in the 8th article below. Inafmuch then, as the impossible roots go by pairs, and the number of roots in any equation is equal to the number of its dimensions, it follows,

4. That every equation of an odd number of dimensions, must contain at least one real root.

5. Every equation whose first and last terms (when brought to one side) have contrary signs, will have at least also one real root: and therefore, when this is the case, and the number of its dimensions is

also even, it must have two real roots at least: because the number of dimensions being even, and the number of impossible roots always even, the number of real ones must be even also.

6. If any equation be divided by the unknown quantity minus one of its roots, it will be reduced one dimension lower. And as every equation contains as many roots as it has dimensions, it follows,

7. That if you deduct the number of impossible roots from the whole number of its roots, that is, from the number of its dimensions, the remainder

will give the number of its real roots.

8. When you have found by the rulers, what those real roots are, put the unknown quantity (x) equal to each of them, transpose the terms in each equation to one side, multiply all the equations together, and divide the equation proposed by their product; then make the quotient equal to nothing, and you have an equation containing all your impossible roots, without any real ones intermixed. Then those impossible roots may be found by the method for that purpose laid down by Mons. de Bougainville in his Traité du Calcul integral, in the siste and sixth chapters of his introduction; and which is the best method I know of.

His method confifts in parting the equation into two others, of the fame number of dimensions indeed, but such as shall involve no other than real roots; which real roots you may then find by these rulers, or otherwise; and from thence you will obtain all the impossible roots of your equation. But because sew English mathematicians, I suspect, are acquainted with this method, it may be useful to give the substance of it here in our own language.

The author previously demonstrates the two fol-

lowing proportions.

PROP. I. That when a quantity is equal to nothing, and is composed of many terms, some of which are *real*, and the other are terms *multiplied by*  $\sqrt{-1}$ , the sum of the real ones is equal to nothing; and the sum of those that are multiplied by  $\sqrt{-1}$  is also equal to nothing. This is the 69th article of his introduction.

PROP. II. That when an equation involves imaginary roots only, the unknown quantity may always be supposed equal to  $m+n\sqrt{-1}$ ; m and n being real quantities. This is the 80th article of his introduction.

Then to find the roots of such an equation as we are speaking of, for every unknown quantity (x suppose) in the equation, substitute  $m+n\sqrt{-1}$ , and you will obtain a new equation involving real terms, and terms multiplied by  $\sqrt{-1}$ ; the former of which by Prop. I. are always equal to nothing, and to are the latter: make them so therefore, and you have two equations, from which the two assumed quanti-

ties m and n may be discovered; and confequently,

fince the value of x is by the fecond proposition equal to  $m+n\sqrt{-4}$ , it is discovered also.

What I mean in the former part of this article may be explained by the following inflance; suppose the real roots discovered by the rulers abovementioned to be a, b, -c, &c. then put x=a, x=b, x=-c, &c. transpose the terms to one fide, and you have x-a=0, x-l=0, x+c=0, &c. multiply all these last VCL. IX. K k equations

equations together, divide the equation proposed by their product, and proceed as abovementioned.

9. The greatest negative coefficient of any equation (considered as affirmative) and increased by unity always exceeds the greatest affirmative root of the

equation. And therefore,

10. It for the unknown quantity (x) in the equation, you put that coefficient taken affirmatively and increased by unity minus x, all the roots of the equation will be rendered affirmative. If you do this, you need only use such rulers, as are described in the first figure, whose centers are at their extremities, and so one fort of sulers will be sufficient for all cases. For you may observe, those in the second figure are different from the other) as to their centers.

11. If, when you have made all the roots of your equation affirmative, you would avoid removing the ruler MM to the right hand fide of RR, which might be attended with inconveniency; that is, if you would have all the roots of your equation fall between O and T, that is, between nothing and unity, instead of the unknown quantity x in your last equation, put x multiplied by the greatest negative coefficient therein confidered as affirmative and increafed by unity; for inflance, if the greatest negative coefficient in the equation be minus 9, put 10x inftead of every x in the equation, and you will have a new equation, all whose roots shall fall upon the line OT unproduced; for then they will be lefs than unity, that is, than DC or OT: but when the roots are thus found, each of them must be multiplied by that coefficient increased by unity, that is, in the above instance, by 10; because putting 10x for x, is making every root ten times smaller than it is.

These propositions are all taken from the writings of the algebraists, or however are such as easily follow from them, and therefore need not be demonstrated here.

The following is a description of a machine for regulating the motion of the abovementioned rulers, which I caused to be made by an excellent workman in this town, and which I defire the fociety to accept of, to be kept as a specimen, for the inspection of any gentleman, who may chuse to have such made. It extends only to equations of two dimensions; but it is easy to see from it, how it may be carried to others of any number. A draft of it is exhibited in fig. 4. of the abovementioned table: where ABCD represents a frame of iron or steel, confisting of four strait bars joined together at their extremities, and forming a rectangular parallelogram about 12 inches long and 8 broad; into which at its four corners are screwed four perpendicular columns EF, GH, 1K and LM, whose lower ends serve it as feet to stand on. And on one of the aforesaid bars, viz. on A, is a moveable nut or slider, which may be screwed to it at any point thereof; it appears in the figure at N; and on this nut, as a center, one end of the bar NO turns, whose other end is screwed down to the cross bar PQ at R, which cross bar is screwed down to the frame at P and Q, and may be fet nearer or further from the end A at pleafure: this bar represents the line RR in fig. 1. Then-K k 2

on the perpendicular pillars EF, GH, IK and LM, are fixed three bars ST, UX and YZ; on the first of these ST, is a sliding nut c, which carries one extremity of the bar ab turning upon it as a center; on the second and third, viz. UX and YZ, are also two nuts e and f, which may be screwed on any part of them, and on which the silken cord ef is fastned. The two first of those bars, viz. ST and UX together with the bar A, or rather lines such as the pricked line upon the upper one drawn upon them from pillar to pillar, represent the line SS in fig. 1. and the silken cord extended from the nut e to f and fixed to them, represents the

base line ZZ in that figure.

Then besides these there is another rectangular figure g b i k, about twice the length of the former, whose sides, gk and bi slide in grooves or supporters screwed to the frame ABCD, at proper places (three of which may be feen at l, m, and n), and have triangular teeth on their under fides from g to d, and from b to o, which run in fimilar teeth in two wheels s and t, of equal diameters, fixed on an axis pqr, and their axis pr is supported by proper cocks, one of which appears at u, the other not feen in this view of the figure. These wheels being both fixed to the fame axis, and the triangular teeth in the bars fitting those in the wheels exactly, occasion the bars g k and b i to move with equal paces, when the machine is put in motion; by which means the bars wx and yz, which flide in two pieces 1 and 2 fcrewed to the faid bars g k and hi, necessarily move parallel to themselves: these bars represent MM in

fig. 1. In the lower of these bars wx is fixed a perpendicular pin, at 3, whose upper end passes through the groove of the bar 4, 5, and its lower end through that of the bar NO, and in the upper of these bars yz, is fixed a perpendicular pin 6, 7, the upper end of which may be taken out, and a pencil put in its place; this pin represents the point s, and the former pin 3, the point r, in fig. 1. There is also a perpendicular pin forced to the bar 4, 5, at 8, which must be fixed upon that bar directly ever the groove in the bar PQ. This pin denotes the point a in fig. 1. There are also two bars 9, 10, and 11, 12, with triangular teeth, which bars flide in supporters screwed to the frame at proper places (which appear at 13, 14, 15, and 16), and ride upon the vihcels 17 and 18, with fimilar teeth, and both fixed on the axis 19, 20. These wheels prevent the faid bars from moving with unequal paces, and therefore cause the bar 4, 5, which is icrewed down to them at each end of it, to move parallel to itself; this bar represents la in fig. 1. Then the aforefuld nuts or fliders e, f, c, N, and R, being screwed down at proper places according to the coefficients of the equation (as shall be directed more particularly in the next article), and the bar g h being pushed forwards or backwards by the hand, will put the whole in motion; and the pin 6, 7, will describe a curve, which shall be the locus of the equation, and the distances where it shall pass under the filken cord ef, reckoning from the pricked line upon the bar UX, shall denote its real roots; and as many times as that pin shall approach the said cord, and then recede from it without passing under it, twice so many will be the number of impossible roots in that equation. N. B. There are some small pieces screwed on to the perpendicular pillars EF, GH, IK and LM, three of which appear at 21, 22, and 23; these serve only to prevent the bars which slide under them from rising up.

To rectify the machine for a proposed equation. Screw the nuts e and f, which carry the filken cord at any equal distances from the pillars EF and LM. Then flide the nut c, which carries the extremity of the bar ab, till it is farther from the pillar EF than the place you have fixed the nut e at, by a number of divisions upon any scale of equal parts, equal to the known term of the equation, if that term be affirmative; but so much nearer to it, if that term be negative; and fix it there. Then flide the nut N that carries the bar NO, till it is farther from or nearer to the pillar EF, than the last nut c is, by a number of divisions taken from the same seale, equal to the second coefficient of the equation (I mean that, where the unknown quantity is of one dimension); farther from it, if that coefficient is affirmative; but nearer, if it is negative: and fix it there. Then, lastly, slide the nut R, which fixes the other end of the bar NO, till it is farther from a line drawn from the pillar EF to LM, that is, farther from the fide D of the frame than the last nut N is from the same, as many divisions, as the coefficient of that term of the equation, where the unknown quantity is of two dimensions, indicates; far-

ther, if that coefficient is affirmative, otherwise nearer to it: for which purpose the end A of the frame, and the bars ST, UX, YZ and the cross bur PQ should all be graduated, beginning at the front of the frame D. They are graduated on the machine itself in a manner somewhat different, but it is found not to convenient for use. Then the several distances upon the cord c/, where the pencil or pin 6, 7, shall cross it, being reckoned from the pricked line upon the upper bar UX, and measured upon a scale, on which the distance of the cross bar PQ from a line drawn along the middle of the end A, from EF to GH, is wity (the reason of which appears from the foregoing demonstration, where De or O T in fig. 1. and which answers to the distance of this line PQ from the bar A, was put equal to unity) shall give the required roots. And if the cord ef is removed, and a piece of patteboard put over the machine, on the two upper bars UX and YZ, having a fluit line drawn on its under fide, reprefenting the cord cf, and a pencil with its point upwards be put in the place of the pin 7, that pencil will describe on the under fide of the pitteboard a curve, which with the faid right line shall confirmat the equation propoted: and the larger the coefficients of the equation are (which coefficients may be made as large as you pleafe without altering the roots, by multiplying them by any number at pleafure) the larger will be the angles at which the curve and the strait line shall cut each other; which in the construction of equations is a very defireable circumstance. And as it appears from the foregoing

## [ 256 ]

demonstration, that this machine by the application of more bars, may be extended to equations of all dimensions, it may not, I think, be improperly called an Universal constructor of Equations. I am

SIR,

With great respect,

your most humble and

most obedient servant,

London, Effex-street, March 24, 1768.

J. Rowning.

XXV. A