

On the inverse Kontorovich-Lebedev transform for distributions

Semyon B. Yakubovich *

January 26, 2006

Abstract

We show that in a sense of distributions

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \tau \sinh \pi \tau \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} K_{i\tau}(y) K_{ix}(y) \frac{dy}{y} = \delta(\tau - x),$$

where δ is the Dirac distribution, $\tau, x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $K_{\nu}(x)$ is the modified Bessel function. The convergence is in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ for any even $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, which is a restriction to \mathbb{R} of an analytic function $\varphi(z)$ in a horizontal strip $G_a = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq a, a > 0\}$ and satisfies the condition $\varphi(z) = O(z^{-\alpha} \Gamma(iz + 1/2))$, $|\operatorname{Re} z| \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha > 1$ uniformly in G_a . The result is applied to prove the representation theorem for the inverse Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation on distributions.

Keywords: *Kontorovich-Lebedev transform, distributions, modified Bessel functions*

AMS subject classification: 46F12, 44A15, 33C10

1 Introduction

In this paper we study a natural extension to spaces of distributions for the inverse Kontorovich-Lebedev transform [4], [7]

$$F(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{i\tau}(y) f(\tau) d\tau, \quad y > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

*Work supported by *Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia* (FCT) through the *Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto* (CMUP). Available as a PDF file from <http://www.fc.up.pt/cmup>.

modifying our previous version of this transformation given in [5], which is based on the following expansion

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^\infty K_{ix}(y) \frac{1}{y^{1-\epsilon}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tau \sinh(\pi\tau) K_{i\tau}(y) f(\tau) d\tau dy, \quad (1.2)$$

where the limit is understood in the weak topology of distributions with compact supports $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$. These results were initiated by the pioneer paper [8] and by evaluation of concrete distributions (cf. in [2]) useful in various applications of the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform.

As it is known [1], [4], [6], [7], the kernel $K_{i\tau}(y)$ belongs to a class of the modified Bessel functions $K_\nu(z), I_\nu(z)$, which are linear independent solutions of the Bessel differential equation

$$z^2 \frac{d^2 u}{dz^2} + z \frac{du}{dz} - (z^2 + \nu^2)u = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

They can be given by formulas

$$I_\nu(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z/2)^{\nu+2k}}{\Gamma(\nu+k+1)k!}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\Gamma(w)$ is Euler's Gamma-function [1],

$$K_\nu(z) = \frac{\pi}{2 \sin \pi\nu} [I_{-\nu}(z) - I_\nu(z)], \quad (1.5)$$

when $\nu \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, and $K_n(z) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow n} K_\nu(z)$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. The function $K_\nu(z)$ is called also the Macdonald function. It is even with respect to ν and has the following integral representations (cf., in [1], [3])

$$K_\nu(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-z \cosh t} \cosh \nu t dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-z(t+t^{-1})/2} t^{\nu-1} dt. \quad (1.6)$$

Useful relations are [1]

$$z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} K_\nu(z) = \nu K_\nu(z) - z K_{\nu+1}(z), \quad (1.7)$$

$$\int_0^\infty I_\xi(x) K_\nu(x) \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{1}{\xi^2 - \nu^2}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \xi > |\operatorname{Re} \nu|, \quad (1.8)$$

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\nu + 1/2)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \int_{-1}^1 e^{-zx} (1-x^2)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dx, \quad \operatorname{Re} \nu > -\frac{1}{2}. \quad (1.9)$$

These functions have the asymptotic behaviour [1], [7]

$$K_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2z}\right)^{1/2} e^{-z} [1 + O(1/z)], \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.10)$$

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} [1 + O(1/z)], \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.11)$$

and near the origin

$$K_\nu(z) = O(z^{-|\operatorname{Re}\nu|}), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.12)$$

$$K_0(z) = -\log z + O(1), \quad z \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.13)$$

$$I_\nu(z) = O(z^{\operatorname{Re}\nu}), \nu \neq 0, \quad z \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.14)$$

We also mention here the value of their Wronskian [1]

$$W(K_\nu(z), I_\nu(z)) = K_\nu(z)I'_\nu(z) - I_\nu(z)K'_\nu(z) = -\frac{1}{z}, \quad z \neq 0, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{C} \quad (1.15)$$

and symbol ' denotes the derivative with respect to z . When the index of the Macdonald function is pure imaginary, i.e. $\nu = i\tau$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ then $K_{i\tau}(y)$, $y > 0$ is real-valued.

The main object of this work is to study a distributional version of the Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation (1.1) and to prove a representation theorem involving the following kernel function

$$\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \tau \sinh \pi \tau \int_\varepsilon^\infty K_{i\tau}(y) K_{ix}(y) \frac{dy}{y}, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (1.16)$$

We will prove that $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(\tau, x)$ converges to a shifted Dirac distribution $\delta(\tau - x)$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ in the sense of the convergence in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$. This property can be interpreted as a certain orthogonality of the modified Bessel functions with pure imaginary subscripts.

We note that $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ is a dual space of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, which in turn, is a metrizable locally convex space of infinitely differentiable functions $\varphi(x)$ with the topology generated by the collection of seminorms

$$\gamma_{p,K}(\varphi) \equiv \sup_{x \in K} |D_x^p \varphi(x)| < \infty, \quad (1.17)$$

where p is a non-negative integer number, K is a compact set on \mathbb{R} , and $D_x = \frac{d}{dx}$.

Along this paper by C we will denote a positive constant not necessarily the same in each occurrence.

2 Orthogonality of the Macdonald functions

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 1. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function, which is a restriction to \mathbb{R} of an analytic function $\varphi(z)$ in a horizontal strip $G_a = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq a, a > 0\}$ satisfying the condition $\varphi(z) = O(z^{-\alpha} \Gamma(1/2 + iz))$, $|\operatorname{Re} z| \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha > 1$ uniformly in G_a . Then in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(\tau, x) = \delta(\tau - x), \quad \tau, x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.1)$$

More precisely, for each φ under conditions of the theorem we have the equality

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(\cdot, x), \varphi \rangle = \varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.2)$$

where the convergence is in the countably multinorm space $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. By using relation (1.12.3.3) in [3] we calculate the integral with respect to y in (1.16). Then invoking (1.5) with the definition of Wronskian, the kernel $\mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(\tau, x)$ can be represented as follows ($|\tau| \neq |x|$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(\tau, x) &= \frac{\varepsilon \tau \sinh \pi \tau}{\pi^2(\tau^2 - x^2)} [K_{ix}(\varepsilon)K'_{i\tau}(\varepsilon) - K_{i\tau}(\varepsilon)K'_{ix}(\varepsilon)] \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon \tau \sinh \pi \tau}{\pi^2(\tau^2 - x^2)} W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), K_{i\tau}(\varepsilon)) = \frac{\varepsilon i \tau}{2\pi(\tau^2 - x^2)} [W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{-i\tau}(\varepsilon)) \\ &\quad - W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{i\tau}(\varepsilon))]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Diagonal values $|\tau| = |x|$ of the kernel (1.16) can be easily find by its continuity on \mathbb{R}^2 as a function of two variables. In fact, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the integral by y is absolutely and uniformly convergent with respect to (τ, x) on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^2 by virtue of the inequality (see (1.6)) $|K_\nu(y)| \leq K_{\text{Re}\nu}(y)$ and asymptotic behavior (1.10). Our goal is to show that under conditions of the theorem there exists a nonnegative integer r such that

$$\max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sup_{x \in [-x_0, x_0]} |D_x^p(\varphi - \varphi_\varepsilon)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (2.4)$$

where $x_0 > 0$ and we denote by

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \langle \mathcal{K}_\varepsilon(\cdot, x), \varphi \rangle, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (2.5)$$

We observe that (2.5) is a regular distribution. Indeed, taking into account the evenness of φ we can write it in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\varepsilon(x) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tau \sinh \pi \tau}{\tau^2 - x^2} W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), K_{i\tau}(\varepsilon)) \varphi(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\tau - x} + \frac{1}{\tau + x} \right] \sinh \pi \tau W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), K_{i\tau}(\varepsilon)) \varphi(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh \pi \tau}{\tau - x} W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), K_{i\tau}(\varepsilon)) \varphi(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi i} P.V. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{i\tau}(\varepsilon)) \frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\tau - x} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi i} P.V. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{-i\tau}(\varepsilon)) \frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\tau - x} d\tau = \varphi_{1\varepsilon}(x) - \varphi_{2\varepsilon}(x), \quad (2.6)$$

where both integrals $\varphi_{j\varepsilon}(x)$, $j = 1, 2$ are understood in the principal Cauchy value. We also satisfy their absolute convergence. We take for instance, integral $\varphi_{1\varepsilon}(x)$. We have

$$\varphi_{1\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi i} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \right) W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{i(\tau+x)}(\varepsilon)) \frac{\varphi(\tau+x)}{\tau} d\tau$$

Hence it is sufficient to guarantee the estimate

$$\int_{|\tau| \geq M} \left| W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{i(\tau+x)}(\varepsilon)) \frac{\varphi(\tau+x)}{\tau} \right| d\tau < \infty, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad x \in [-x_0, x_0],$$

where $M > x_0 \geq |x|$ is large enough. In fact, from (1.9) we immediately obtain the following estimates of the modified Bessel function and its derivative with respect to an argument

$$|I_\nu(y)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(\operatorname{Re}\nu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\operatorname{Re}\nu + 1)|\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)|} e^y \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{\operatorname{Re}\nu}, \quad y > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}\nu > -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$|I'_\nu(y)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(\operatorname{Re}\nu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\operatorname{Re}\nu + 1)|\Gamma(\nu + 1/2)|} \left(\frac{|\nu|}{y} + 1\right) e^y \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{\operatorname{Re}\nu}. \quad (2.8)$$

Consequently, taking into account conditions of the theorem we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\tau| \geq M} \left| W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{i(\tau+x)}(\varepsilon)) \frac{\varphi(\tau+x)}{\tau} \right| d\tau &\leq C e^\varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{2}{y} + \frac{1}{M}\right) |K_{ix}(\varepsilon)| + \frac{|K'_{ix}(\varepsilon)|}{M} \right] \\ &\times \int_{|\tau| \geq M} \frac{d\tau}{(|\tau| - x_0)^\alpha} < \infty, \quad \alpha > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we treat $\varphi_{2\varepsilon}(x)$. Thus (2.5) is a regular distribution and we have the representation (2.6). It is easily seen by an elementary substitution in the integral that $\varphi_{1\varepsilon}(x) = -\varphi_{2\varepsilon}(-x)$. Hence we have $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = -\varphi_{2\varepsilon}(x) - \varphi_{2\varepsilon}(-x)$ and we will prove that

$$\max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sup_{x \in [-x_0, x_0]} \left| D_x^p \left(\frac{\varphi}{2} + \varphi_{2\varepsilon} \right) \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (2.9)$$

Taking then into account the evenness of φ we will conclude (2.4) and therefore will achieve our goal.

In order to establish (2.9) we will appeal to analytic properties of $\varphi(z)$ in the strip G_a . Precisely, via Cauchy's theorem we take a big positive R and a small $\delta > 0$ to write the equality

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{-R}^{-\delta} + \int_{\delta}^R + \int_R^{R+ia} + \int_{R+ia}^{-R+ia} + \int_{-R+ia}^{-R} \right) W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{-i(z+x)}(\varepsilon)) \frac{\varphi(z+x)}{z} dz$$

$$+\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \int_{\pi}^0 W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{-i(\delta e^{i\theta}+x)}(\varepsilon)) \varphi(\delta e^{i\theta}+x) d\theta = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Hence letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ we observe that integrals over $(R, R+ia)$ and $(-R+ia, -R)$ tend to zero due to asymptotic behavior of the function φ in the strip G_a . Then we let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ to obtain (see (2.6))

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{2\varepsilon}(x) &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\pi}^0 W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{-i(\delta e^{i\theta}+x)}(\varepsilon)) \varphi(\delta e^{i\theta}+x) d\theta \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{a-i\tau}(\varepsilon)) \frac{\varphi(\tau+ia)}{\tau-x+ia} d\tau, \quad a > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Meanwhile, we can pass to the limit when $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ under the integral sign in (2.11) via the dominated convergence theorem. Hence invoking the evenness of the function $K_{ix}(\varepsilon)$ with respect to x and combining with the value of the Wronskian (1.15) we derive the equality

$$\varphi_{2\varepsilon}(x) + \frac{\varphi(x)}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{a-i\tau}(\varepsilon)) \frac{\varphi(\tau+ia)}{\tau-x+ia} d\tau. \quad (2.12)$$

Hence differentiating through (2.12) with respect to x we put derivatives inside the integral via the uniform convergence on the compact $[-x_0, x_0]$ to find

$$\begin{aligned} D_x^p \left(\frac{\varphi}{2} + \varphi_{2\varepsilon} \right) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi i} \sum_{l=0}^p \frac{p!}{(p-l)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W(D_x^{p-l} K_{ix}(\varepsilon), I_{a-i\tau}(\varepsilon)) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\varphi(\tau+ia)}{(\tau-x+ia)^{l+1}} d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

In the meantime, appealing to representations (1.6) and assuming $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we have the uniform estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |D_x^{p-l} K_{ix}(\varepsilon)| &\leq \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} e^t} t^{p-l} dt = \int_{\varepsilon/2}^{\infty} e^{-u} \log^{p-l} \left(\frac{2u}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{du}{u} \\ &\leq \int_{\varepsilon/2}^{1/2} \log^{p-l} \left(\frac{2u}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{du}{u} + \int_{1/2}^{\infty} e^{-u} (\log 2u + \log \varepsilon^{-1})^{p-l} \frac{du}{u} = O(\log^{p-l+1} \varepsilon^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, for $p > l$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon |D_x^{p-l} K'_{ix}(\varepsilon)| &\leq \varepsilon \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} e^t} e^t t^{p-l} dt = 2(p-l) \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2} e^t} t^{p-l-1} dt \\ &= O(\log^{p-l} \varepsilon^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

When $p = l$ evidently (see (1.6), (1.12))

$$\varepsilon |D_x^{p-l} K'_{ix}(\varepsilon)| = \varepsilon |K'_{ix}(\varepsilon)| < \varepsilon \int_0^\infty e^{-\varepsilon \sinh t} \cosh t dt = 1.$$

Combining with estimates (2.7), (2.8) we return to (2.13) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sup_{x \in [-x_0, x_0]} \left| D_x^p \left(\frac{\varphi}{2} + \varphi_{2\varepsilon} \right) \right| \leq \max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sup_{x \in [-x_0, x_0]} \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \sum_{l=0}^p \frac{p!}{(p-l)!} \\ & \times \int_{-\infty}^\infty |D_x^{p-l} K_{ix}(\varepsilon) I'_{a-i\tau}(\varepsilon)| \frac{|\varphi(\tau + ia)|}{|\tau - x + ia|^{l+1}} d\tau + \max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sup_{x \in [-x_0, x_0]} \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \sum_{l=0}^p \frac{p!}{(p-l)!} \\ & \times \int_{-\infty}^\infty |D_x^{p-l} K'_{ix}(\varepsilon) I_{a-i\tau}(\varepsilon)| \frac{|\varphi(\tau + ia)|}{|\tau - x + ia|^{l+1}} d\tau \leq C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^a e^\varepsilon \log \varepsilon^{-1} \\ & \max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sum_{l=0}^p \frac{p!}{(p-l)!} a^{-l} \log^{p-l} \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{(|a - i\tau| + \varepsilon) |\Gamma(i\tau - a + 1/2)|}{|\Gamma(a - i\tau + 1/2)| |\tau + ia|^\alpha |\tau - x + ia|} d\tau \\ & + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^a e^\varepsilon \max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sum_{l=0}^p \frac{p!}{(p-l)!} a^{-l} \log^{p-l} \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{|\Gamma(i\tau - a + 1/2)|}{|\Gamma(a - i\tau + 1/2)| |\tau + ia|^\alpha} d\tau \\ & < C \varepsilon^a \left(\log \varepsilon^{-1} + \frac{1}{a} \right)^r (\log \varepsilon^{-1} + 1) \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0+. \end{aligned}$$

The latter integrals are indeed bounded since due to Stirling's formula for Gamma-functions [1]

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma(i\tau - a + 1/2)}{\Gamma(a - i\tau + 1/2)} \right| = O(|\tau|^{-2a}), \quad |\tau| \rightarrow \infty,$$

and therefore

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{|\Gamma(i\tau - a + 1/2)|}{|\Gamma(a - i\tau + 1/2)| |\tau + ia|^\alpha} d\tau = O(1) + O\left(\int_{|\tau| \geq M} \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{2a+\alpha}} \right) = O(1).$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{(|a - i\tau| + \varepsilon) |\Gamma(i\tau - a + 1/2)|}{|\Gamma(a - i\tau + 1/2)| |\tau + ia|^\alpha |\tau - x + ia|} d\tau = O(1) \\ & + O\left(\int_{|\tau| \geq M > x_0} \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{2a+\alpha-1} (|\tau| - x_0)} \right) = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we establish (2.9), which implies (2.4). Theorem 1 is proved.

3 Representation theorem

We define a complex analog of the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform (1.1) on distributions $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$F(z) = \langle f, K_{i\cdot}(z) \rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.1)$$

From representations (1.6) it follows that $K_{i\tau}(z)$ is infinitely differentiable with respect to $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and analytic with respect to z in the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$. Thus $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ contains $K_{i\tau}(z)$ for various values of the complex parameter z . We will prove that $F(z)$ is an analytic function in the right-half plane and satisfies there an appropriate estimate. Precisely, we have

Theorem 2. *For each $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ $F(z)$ is analytic on the right half-plane $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ and its derivatives*

$$D_z^p F := \frac{(-1)^p}{2^p} \sum_{l=0}^p \binom{p}{l} \langle f, K_{i\cdot -p+2l}(z) \rangle, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3.2)$$

Furthermore, the following estimates are true

$$|F(z)| = O\left(\log^{r+1}\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z}\right)\right), \quad \operatorname{Re} z \rightarrow 0+, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$|F(z)| = O\left(\frac{e^{-\operatorname{Re} z}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re} z}}\right), \quad \operatorname{Re} z \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Let z be an arbitrary fixed point in the right half-plane with $\operatorname{Re} z \geq y_0 > 0$. Taking a complex increment $\Delta z \neq 0$ such that $z, z + \Delta z$ belong to the right half-plane, we show that $F(z)$ admits a derivative in each inner half-plane. In view of our freedom to choose y_0 arbitrarily close to zero we will establish the analyticity of $F(z)$ on the right half-plane.

Indeed, invoking definition (3.1) of $F(z)$ we write

$$\frac{F(z + \Delta z) - F(z)}{\Delta z} - \langle f, D_z K_{i\cdot}(z) \rangle = \langle f, \Psi_{\Delta z}(\cdot) \rangle, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\Psi_{\Delta z}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Delta z} [K_{i\tau}(z + \Delta z) - K_{i\tau}(z)] - D_z K_{i\tau}(z).$$

Thus our aim is to verify that there exists an integer $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for any compact $T \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sup_{\tau \in T} |D_\tau^p \Psi_{\Delta z}(\tau)| \rightarrow 0, \quad |\Delta z| \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.6)$$

To do this we employ again representations (1.6). Hence we put derivatives inside of the integral via its uniform convergence and after simple manipulations we arrive at the estimate

$$|D_\tau^p \Psi_{\Delta z}(\tau)| \leq \int_0^\infty t^p e^{-y_0 \cosh t} \frac{|e^{-\Delta z \cosh t} - 1 + \Delta z \cosh t|}{|\Delta z|} dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty t^p e^{-y_0 \cosh t} \left| \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{(\Delta z)^{n-1} \cosh^n t}{n!} \right| dt \leq \int_0^\infty t^p e^{-y_0 \cosh t} \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{|\Delta z|^{n-1} \cosh^n t}{n!} dt.$$

The latter series can be taken out of the integral by virtue of the Levi theorem and we find

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\tau^p \Psi_{\Delta z}(\tau)| &\leq \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{|\Delta z|^{n-1}}{n!} \left(\int_0^1 + \int_1^\infty \right) t^p e^{-y_0 e^t/2} e^{nt} dt \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{|\Delta z|^{n-1} e^n}{n!} + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{|\Delta z|^{n-1} (n+1)}{(y_0/2)^{n+1}} \int_1^\infty e^{-t} t^p dt < C |\Delta z| \rightarrow 0, \quad |\Delta z| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we establish (3.6). Hence by using an inductive argument we get the existence of p -th derivative with respect to z . Finally we invoke the relation (cf. [1, 3])

$$D_z^p K_\mu(z) = \frac{(-1)^p}{2^p} \sum_{l=0}^p \binom{p}{l} K_{\mu-p+2l}(z),$$

and we come out with (3.2).

In order to prove (3.3) we appeal to the fact that $F(z)$ is a continuous linear functional on countably multinormed space $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence there exists a positive constant C and a nonnegative integer r , which depend on f such that for $0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1$ we derive

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\leq C \max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sup_{\tau \in T} |D_\tau^p K_{i\tau}(z)| \leq C \max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \int_0^\infty e^{-\operatorname{Re} z \cosh t} t^p dt \\ &\leq C \max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \left[\int_{\operatorname{Re} z/2}^{1/2} \log^p \left(\frac{2u}{\operatorname{Re} z} \right) \frac{du}{u} + \int_{1/2}^\infty e^{-u} \log^p \left(\frac{2u}{\operatorname{Re} z} \right) \frac{du}{u} \right] \\ &= \left(\log^{r+1} \left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} z} \right) \right), \quad \operatorname{Re} z \rightarrow 0+. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\operatorname{Re} z \cosh t} t^p dt &= e^{-\operatorname{Re} z} \int_0^\infty e^{-2\operatorname{Re} z \sinh^2(t/2)} t^p dt \\ &\leq e^{-\operatorname{Re} z} \int_0^\infty e^{-\operatorname{Re} z t^2/2} t^p dt = 2^{(p-1)/2} \Gamma \left(\frac{p+1}{2} \right) e^{-\operatorname{Re} z} (\operatorname{Re} z)^{-(p+1)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

we easily get (3.4). Theorem 2 is proved.

Now we are ready to prove the representation theorem for the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform (3.1) of real positive variable.

Theorem 3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy conditions of Theorem 1 with $\alpha > 2$. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left\langle \frac{1}{\pi^2} \tau \sinh \pi \tau \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} F(y) K_{i\tau}(y) \frac{dy}{y}, \varphi(\tau) \right\rangle = \langle f, \varphi \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Taking into account asymptotic behaviour (1.10), (1.13) of the Macdonald function, estimates (3.3), (3.4) and elementary inequality (see (1.6)) $K_{i\tau}(y) \leq K_0(y)$ we conclude that the latter integral in (3.7) is absolutely and uniformly convergent with respect to $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, it can be treated as a Riemann improper integral. Furthermore, we show that (3.7) is a regular distribution if φ satisfies conditions of Theorem 1 with $\alpha > 2$. In fact, by using (1.5) and the evenness of φ we write

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \left\langle \tau \sinh \pi \tau \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} F(y) K_{i\tau}(y) \frac{dy}{y}, \varphi(\tau) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \varphi(\tau) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} I_{i\tau}(y) F(y) \frac{dy}{y} d\tau. \quad (3.8)$$

Hence appealing to estimates (2.7), (3.3), (3.4) we easily verify the absolute convergence of the iterated integral in the right-hand side of (3.8). Precisely, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\tau \varphi(\tau)| \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} |I_{i\tau}(y) F(y)| \frac{dy}{y} d\tau &< C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\tau \varphi(\tau)|}{|\Gamma(i\tau + 1/2)|} d\tau \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^y |F(y)| \frac{dy}{y} \\ &< C \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^{3/2}} \left[\int_{|\tau| < M} \frac{|\tau \varphi(\tau)|}{|\Gamma(i\tau + 1/2)|} d\tau + \int_{|\tau| > M} \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{\alpha-1}} \right] < \infty, \quad \alpha > 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the left-hand side of (3.8) is a regular distribution and the corresponding integral in its right-hand side can be approximated by Riemann's sums. Therefore invoking (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tau \varphi(\tau) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} I_{i\tau}(y) F(y) \frac{dy}{y} d\tau &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^N x_m \varphi(x_m) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} I_{ix_m}(y) F(y) \frac{dy}{y} \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle f_x, \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^N \tau_m \varphi(\tau_m) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} I_{i\tau_m}(y) K_{ix}(y) \frac{dy}{y} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

But in the meantime we will establish that when $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^N \tau_m \varphi(\tau_m) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} I_{i\tau_m}(y) K_{ix}(y) \frac{dy}{y} = \varphi_{N,\varepsilon}(x)$$

converges in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ to $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)$, which, in turn, is defined by (2.5). Indeed, calling proofs of Theorems 1, 2 we find (cf. (1.16))

$$\max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sup_{x \in [-x_0, x_0]} |D_x^p(\varphi_{N,\varepsilon}(x) - \varphi_{\varepsilon}(x))| = \max_{0 \leq p \leq r} \sup_{x \in [-x_0, x_0]} \left| \int_{|\tau| > N} D_x^p \mathcal{K}_{\varepsilon}(\tau, x) \varphi(\tau) d\tau \right|$$

$$< C \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^{3/2}} \int_{|\tau|>N} \frac{d\tau}{|\tau|^{\alpha-1}} \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad \alpha > 2.$$

Combining with (3.9) we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle f_x, \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^N \tau_m \varphi(\tau_m) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} I_{i\tau_m}(y) K_{ix}(y) \frac{dy}{y} \right\rangle = \langle f, \varphi_{\varepsilon} \rangle.$$

Hence appealing to Theorem 1 we arrive at the representation (3.7). Theorem 3 is proved.

As a corollary this immediately yields the uniqueness property for the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform (3.1).

Corollary 1. *If $F(y) = G(y)$, $y > 0$, where F, G are Kontorovich-Lebedev transforms of f and g , respectively, then $f = g$ in the sense of equality in $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ for all φ from Theorem 1.*

References

1. A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. II, McGraw-Hill, New York, London and Toronto (1953).
2. G. Z. Forrinstall, and J. D. Ingram, Evaluation of distributions useful in Kontorovich-Lebedev transform theory, *SIAM J: Math. Anal.* **3** (1972), 561-566.
3. A.P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov and O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series, Special Functions*, Gordon and Breach, New York (1986).
4. I.N. Sneddon, *The Use of Integral Transforms*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1972).
5. S.B. Yakubovich and B. Fisher, On the theory of the Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation on distributions, *Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, **122** (1994), N 3, 773-777.
6. S.B. Yakubovich and Yu.F. Luchko, *The Hypergeometric Approach to Integral Transforms and Convolutions*, (Kluwers Ser. Math. and Appl.: Vol. 287), Dordrecht, Boston, London (1994).
7. S.B. Yakubovich, *Index Transforms*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong (1996).
8. A.H. Zemanian, The Kontorovich-Lebedev transformation on distributions of compact support and its inversion, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **77** (1975), 139-143.

S.B. Yakubovich
 Department of Pure Mathematics,
 Faculty of Sciences,

University of Porto,
Campo Alegre st., 687
4169-007 Porto
Portugal
E-Mail: syakubov@fc.up.pt