

EXOTIC COMPONENTS OF $SO(p, q)$ SURFACE GROUP REPRESENTATIONS, AND THEIR HIGGS BUNDLE AVATARS

MARTA APARICIO-ARROYO, STEVEN BRADLOW, BRIAN COLLIER, OSCAR GARCÍA-PRADA,
PETER B. GOTHEN, AND ANDRÉ OLIVEIRA

ABSTRACT. For semisimple Lie groups, moduli spaces of Higgs bundles on a Riemann surface correspond to representation varieties for the surface fundamental group. In many cases, natural topological invariants label connected components of the moduli spaces. Hitchin representations into split real forms, and maximal representations into Hermitian Lie groups, are the only previously known cases where natural invariants do not fully distinguish connected components. In this note we announce the existence of new such exotic components in the moduli spaces for the groups $SO(p, q)$ with $2 < p < q$. These groups lie outside formerly known classes of groups associated with exotic components.

RÉSUMÉ. Pour les groupes de Lie semisimples, les espaces de modules de fibrés de Higgs sur une surface de Riemann sont en correspondance avec les variétés de représentations du groupe fondamental de la surface. Pour beaucoup de groupes, des invariants topologiques naturels distinguent les composantes connexes de l'espace de modules. Les représentations de Hitchin dans un groupe réel déployé et des représentations maximales dans un groupe hermitien fournissaient les seuls exemples connus jusqu'ici où les invariants primitifs sont insuffisants. Cette note a pour objet d'annoncer l'existence de nouvelles composantes exotiques pour les espaces de modules pour les groupes $SO(p, q)$, pour $2 < p < q$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Representation varieties for closed oriented surfaces generally have more than one connected component. Some of the components are mundane in the sense that they are distinguished by obvious topological invariants and have no known special characteristics. Others are more alluring and unusual either because they are not detected by the primary invariants or because they have special geometric significance, or both.

Instances of such ‘exotic’ components are well understood in two situations. The first is for representations into a split real form of a complex semisimple group (see [14]), in which case the exotic components are known as Hitchin components. The second occurs for representations into the isometry group for a non-compact Hermitian symmetric space (see [4]), in which case the components with so-called maximal Toledo invariant have exotic components. Both of these classes of exotic components have been called higher Teichmüller components in [7] since they enjoy many of the geometric features of Teichmüller space.

The purpose of this note is to announce¹ the existence of exotic components in the representation varieties for representations into $SO(p, q)$, the special orthogonal groups with signature (p, q) . These groups are non-compact with two connected components, the component of the identity will be denoted by $SO_0(p, q)$. Except for the special cases $p = 2$, $q = p$ or $q = p + 1$, the groups $SO(p, q)$ are neither split nor of Hermitian type. The new $SO(p, q)$ exotic components are thus not accounted for by previously known mechanisms.

Date: 22 October 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C07, 22E40; Secondary 20H10, 14H60.

Key words and phrases. Semistable Higgs bundles, connected components of moduli spaces.

¹A preprint with full details of the proof is in preparation.

For a closed surface S and a Lie group G , the representation variety $\mathcal{R}(S, G)$ parameterizes the space of conjugacy classes of group homomorphisms $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow G$. By the Non-Abelian Hodge (NAH) correspondence, the space $\mathcal{R}(S, G)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, G)$, the moduli space of polystable G -Higgs bundles on a closed Riemann surface Σ of the same genus as S . Our methods lie on the Higgs bundle side of the NAH correspondence; what we actually prove is the existence of exotic components for $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, \mathrm{SO}(p, q))$.

Though not accounted for by previously known mechanisms, evidence for these new exotic components has nevertheless steadily accumulated in recent years. The earliest indication came from Morse theoretic considerations based on the norm-squared of the Higgs field. This real-valued function defines a proper map and hence attains a local minimum on every connected component. The absolute minimum, i.e. the zero level, is attained on the components labeled by the primary topological invariants for $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles. In her 2009 Ph.D. thesis [1] the first author described additional local minima at non-zero values. Since the moduli spaces are not smooth, Morse theoretic tools could not be employed directly to infer the existence of exotic components, but these exotic local minima revealed that possibility (now confirmed by the results in this note).

Further evidence came from the results in [8] in the special case of $\mathrm{SO}(p, p+1)$. Since these groups are split real forms, the moduli spaces have Hitchin components, but the results in [8] show that these are not the only exotic components. For $p = 2$, the fact that $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, 3)$ is double covered by $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ leads to extra exotic components related to exotic components first detected in [12] in the moduli spaces of $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ -Higgs bundles. The results in [8] show that these exotic components have counterparts for all $\mathrm{SO}(p, p+1)$.

Working on the other side of the Non-Abelian Hodge Correspondence, Guichard and Wienhard found reason to conjecture (see [13]) that the representation varieties $\mathcal{R}(S, \mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ should have special connected components not detected by the primary topological invariants. The conjectured components would parameterize representations characterized by a positivity condition which is a refinement of the Anosov condition introduced by Labourie [15]. The conjecture is based on the fact that apart from the split real forms and the real forms of Hermitian type, the only other non-exceptional groups which allow positive representations are the groups $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$.

The NAH correspondence is notoriously non-explicit, providing little guidance for matching individual Higgs bundles with specific surface group representations. In particular, except in special cases, it is difficult to identify Higgs bundles which correspond to representations satisfying the Guichard-Wienhard positivity condition. Nonetheless, with evidence coming from special cases, we conjecture that the Higgs bundles in our exotic components all correspond to positive representations in the sense of Guichard-Wienhard, and hence that the exotic components we detect in $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, \mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ correspond to the expected components in $\mathcal{R}(S, \mathrm{SO}(p, q))$. In particular, the exotic components of $\mathcal{R}(S, \mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ would consist entirely of Anosov representations.

We note finally that very recently another facet of our exotic components has been revealed by Baraglia and Schaposnik in [2]. In this work, the space $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, \mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ is regarded as a subvariety of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, \mathrm{SO}(p+q, \mathbb{C}))$ and the intersection of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, \mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ with generic fibers of the Hitchin fibration is examined. Since their methods apply only to an open subset of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, \mathrm{SO}(p, q))$, they are not able to establish the existence of exotic components. They can however characterize the intersections of these components with generic fibers in terms of spectral data. The description has features in common with our description of the entire component but is not the same. It would be interesting to reconcile the two descriptions.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Let S be a closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Fix a complex structure on S and denote the resulting Riemann surface by Σ . Without loss of generality we assume that $p \leq q$. While the concept of a G -Higgs bundle for non-compact real forms goes back to the pioneering work of Hitchin [14], the basic definitions and constructions have been elaborated on in several places including [11, 16]. We give here only the essentials necessary to describe our results, and refer the reader to the cited references for more details.

Definition 2.1. *An $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle on Σ is defined by a triple (V, W, η) where V and W are respectively rank p and rank q vector bundles with orthogonal structures such that $\det(W) \simeq \det(V)$, and η is a holomorphic bundle map $\eta : W \rightarrow V \otimes K$.*

There are notions of stability, semistability, and polystability which apply to $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles and which facilitate the construction of moduli spaces. We use the notation $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ to denote the moduli space of polystable $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles on Σ .

The cases for $p \leq 2$ are somewhat special, so for clarity we state the main result without them. For $p > 2$, rank p orthogonal bundles on Σ are classified topologically by their first and second Stiefel-Whitney classes, $sw_1 \in H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $sw_2 \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. These primary topological invariants are constant on connected components of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$. Since $\det(W) \simeq \det(V)$, it follows that $sw_1(V) = sw_1(W)$. The components of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ are thus partially labeled by triples $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where

$$a = sw_1(V) \in H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}_2), \quad b = sw_2(V) \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad c = sw_2(W) \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

Using the notation $\mathcal{M}^{a,b,c}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ to denote the union of components labeled by (a, b, c) , we can thus write

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q)) = \coprod_{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{M}^{a,b,c}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q)). \quad (2.1)$$

The stability notion for $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles implies that a Higgs bundle (V, W, η) with $\eta = 0$ is polystable if and only if V and W are both polystable orthogonal bundles. This leads to the immediate identification of one connected component in each space $\mathcal{M}^{a,b,c}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$. We use the subscript ‘top’ to designate these components, which contain $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles with vanishing Higgs field.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that $2 < p \leq q$. For every $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ the space $\mathcal{M}^{a,b,c}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ has a non-empty connected component², denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{top}}^{a,b,c}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$, in which every point can be continuously deformed to the isomorphism class of an $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle of the form $(V, W, \eta = 0)$ where V and W are polystable orthogonal bundles.*

We define

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q)) = \coprod_{a,b,c} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{top}}^{a,b,c}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q)) \quad (2.2)$$

Our main result shows that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ has additional ‘exotic’ components disjoint from the components of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$. We identify these exotic components as products of moduli spaces of so-called L -twisted Higgs bundles, where in each factor L is a positive power of the canonical bundle K .

Definition 2.3. *Let L be a fixed holomorphic line bundle on Σ . An L -twisted $\mathrm{SO}(1, n)$ -Higgs bundle on Σ is a triple (I, W_0, η) , where W_0 is a $\mathrm{O}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle, I is the rank one orthogonal bundle $\det(W_0)$ and $\eta : W_0 \rightarrow I \otimes L$ is a holomorphic bundle map.*

²In the case $p = 2$ it is no longer true that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{top}}^{a,b,c}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ is non-empty for all (a, b, c) . In particular, if $a = 0$, then $V = L \oplus L^{-1}$ which (a) is polystable if $\deg L = 0$ and (b) has $sw_2(V) = \deg L \pmod{2}$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{top}}^{0,b,c}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q))$ is empty if $b \neq 0$.

Remark 2.4. The notions of stability for Higgs bundles readily extend to L -twisted Higgs bundles and similarly allow the construction of moduli spaces. We use the notation $\mathcal{M}_L(\mathbf{G})$ for the moduli space of polystable L -twisted \mathbf{G} -Higgs bundles. In particular, taking $L = K^p$, $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}(\mathrm{SO}(1, n))$ denotes the moduli space of polystable K^p -twisted $\mathrm{SO}(1, n)$ -Higgs bundles.

We get a decomposition similar to (2.1), namely

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p}(\mathrm{SO}(1, n)) = \coprod_{(a,c) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g} \times \mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, n)) , \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, n))$ denotes the component in which the $\mathrm{SO}(1, n)$ -Higgs bundles are of the form (I, W_0, η) , with $a = sw_1(W_0)$ and $c = sw_2(W_0)$.

We can now state our main result.

Theorem 2.5 (Main Theorem). *Fix integers (p, q) such that $2 < p < q - 1$. For each choice of $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g}$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ has a connected component disjoint from $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$. This component is isomorphic to*

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q - p + 1)) \times \mathcal{M}_{K^2}(\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{K^{2p-2}}(\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)) , \quad (2.4)$$

and lies in the sector $\mathcal{M}^{\alpha, 0, c}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ where $\alpha = a$ if p is odd and $\alpha = 0$ if p is even. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ has no other connected components.

Remark 2.6. The group $\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)$ is the connected component of the identity in $\mathrm{SO}(1, 1)$, and $\mathcal{M}_{K^{2j}}(\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1))$ can be identified with $H^0(K^{2j})$. Thus, we can replace (2.4) with

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q - p + 1)) \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^{p-1} H^0(K^{2j}) . \quad (2.5)$$

Remark 2.7. The existence of the exotic components described by (2.4) was proven for $p = 2$ in [4]. They are the exotic components with maximal Toledo invariant arising from Cayley correspondence (see Section 3.3). In particular, Theorem 2.5 can be viewed as a generalized Cayley correspondence. Contrary to the cases $p > 2$, there are components of $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q))$ which are not in the family described by the theorem and also not in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q))$. These are the components with non-maximal and non-zero Toledo invariant.

For $2 < p < q - 1$ and each (a, c) , we show that the space $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q - p + 1))$ is connected. As an immediate corollary, this gives a count of the connected components of $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$.

Corollary 2.8. *For $2 < p < q - 1$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ has $3 \times 2^{2g+1}$ connected components, 2^{2g+1} of which are exotic components disjoint from $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$.*

3. SPECIAL CASES

The main result generalizes several special cases in which exotic components arise as a result of well-known phenomena. We emphasize that, except for these special cases, the components described in Theorem 2.5 are not accounted for by any of these phenomena. The relation to these special cases is nevertheless significant.

3.1. The case $q = p + 1$. If $q = p + 1$, then $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q - p + 1)) = \mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, 2))$, which is not always connected. Indeed, if $a = 0$, then the Higgs bundles represented in $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{0,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, 2))$ can be taken to be of the form $(\mathcal{O}, L \oplus L^{-1}, \eta)$, where L is a non-negative degree d line bundle. Stability considerations impose a bound on d so that

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{0,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, 2)) = \coprod_{\substack{0 \leq d \leq p(2g-2) \\ d \equiv c \pmod{2}}} \mathcal{M}_{K^p}^d(\mathrm{SO}(1, 2)). \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, (see [8, Theorem 1]) for each integer $d \in (0, 2g-2]$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^d(\mathrm{SO}(1, 2))$ is diffeomorphic to a vector bundle of rank $d+g-1$ over the $(2g-2-d)^{\mathrm{th}}$ -symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}^{2g-2+d}(\Sigma)$. In particular, the components $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^d(\mathrm{SO}(1, 2))$ are smooth and connected.

The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, p+1))$ have been analyzed in [8]. It was shown there that the topological invariants for $\mathrm{SO}(p, p+1)$ -Higgs bundles, i.e. the triples (a, b, c) , do not distinguish all connected components. Two families of exotic components were identified. The components in the first family are labeled by an integer, d , in the range $0 \leq d \leq p(2g-2)$, while those in the second family are labeled by a pair $(a, c) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{2g} - \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Though not described in this way in [8], these families can be identified as follows:

- In the the family labeled by d , each member is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^d(\mathrm{SO}(1, 2)) \times \mathcal{M}_{K^2}(\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{K^{2p-2}}(\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^d(\mathrm{SO}(1, 2))$ is one of the components of $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{0,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, 2))$ as in (3.1).

- In the family labeled by (a, c) , each member is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, 2)) \times \mathcal{M}_{K^2}(\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{K^{2p-2}}(\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)). \quad (3.3)$$

The components are thus precisely those identified by Theorem 2.5 in the case $q = p+1$. The component count in this case is, however, different from the case $q > p+1$.

Corollary 3.1. *For $p > 2$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, p+1))$ has $3 \times 2^{2g+1} + 2p(g-1) - 1$ connected components. Among those, there are $2^{2g+1} + 2p(g-1) - 1$ ‘exotic’ components which are disjoint from $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{SO}(p, p+1))$.*

3.2. The case $q = p$. In this case $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q-p+1)) = \mathcal{M}_{K^p}(\mathrm{SO}(1, 1))$. A K^p -twisted $\mathrm{SO}(1, 1)$ -Higgs bundle consists of a triple (I, I, η) where I is a square root of the trivial bundle \mathcal{O} and $\eta \in H^0(K^p)$. Such Higgs bundles are labeled by a single Stiefel-Whitney class, namely $a = sw_1(I)$, so that

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p}(\mathrm{SO}(1, 1)) = \coprod_{a \in H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}_2)} \mathcal{M}_{K^p}^a(\mathrm{SO}(1, 1)). \quad (3.4)$$

With $q = p$, Theorem 2.5 thus gives 2^{2g} exotic components of $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, p))$ isomorphic to the moduli spaces

$$\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^a(\mathrm{SO}(1, 1)) \times \mathcal{M}_{K^2}(\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{M}_{K^{2p-2}}(\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)). \quad (3.5)$$

For each a , we can identify $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}^a(\mathrm{SO}(1, 1))$ with $H^0(K^p)$. Thus, each exotic component is isomorphic to $H^0(K^p) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{p-1} (H^0(K^{2j}))$. This recovers the Hitchin component in $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}_0(p, p))$ when $a = 0$.

3.3. The case $p = 2 < q$. An $\mathrm{SO}(2, q)$ -Higgs bundle is defined by a triple (V, W, η) in which V is an $\mathrm{O}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle. If $sw_1(V) = 0$, i.e. if the structure group of V reduces to $\mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{C})$, then V can be assumed to be a direct sum of line bundles of the form $V = L \oplus L^{-1}$, with orthogonal structure given $q_V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in this splitting. Note that the second Stiefel-Whitney class of the orthogonal bundle $L \oplus L^{-1}$ is given by $sw_2 = d \pmod{2}$ where $d = \deg(L) \geq 0$.

For the groups $\mathrm{SO}(2, q)$, the connected components of the identity are isometry groups of Hermitian symmetric spaces of non-compact type. As such, the Higgs bundles have an associated Toledo invariant which, up to a normalization constant, is integer-valued but subject to a Milnor-Wood bound (see [3, 4]). For an $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, q)$ -Higgs bundle $(L \oplus L^{-1}, W, \eta)$, the Toledo

invariant is the degree d of L and the Milnor-Wood bound³ is $0 \leq d \leq 2g - 2$. We thus get

$$\mathcal{M}^{0,b,c}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q)) = \coprod_{\substack{0 \leq d \leq 2g-2 \\ d \equiv b \pmod{2}}} \mathcal{M}^{d,c}(\mathrm{SO}_0(2, q)), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\mathcal{M}^{d,c}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q))$ denotes the component in which $\deg(L) = d$ and $sw_2(W) = c$.

The components where $d = 2g - 2$ specializes further because in these components:

- (1) L has to be isomorphic to KI where $I^2 = \mathcal{O}$, and
- (2) W decomposes as $W = I \oplus W_0$ where W_0 is a rank $q - 1$ orthogonal bundle with $sw_1(W_0) = I$.

As shown in [9], an $\mathrm{SO}(2, q)$ -Higgs bundle with $L = KI$, $W = I \oplus W_0$ and $\eta = [q_2, \beta] : I \oplus W_0 \rightarrow KI$ is defined by a K^2 -twisted $\mathrm{SO}(1, q - 1)$ -Higgs bundle (I, W_0, β) together with a quadratic differential q_2 . Denoting $\mathcal{M}^{2g-2,c}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q))$ by $\mathcal{M}^{\max,c}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q))$ it follows that

$$\mathcal{M}^{\max,c}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q)) = \coprod_{a \in H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}_2)} \mathcal{M}_{K^2}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q-1)) \times H^0(K^2) = \coprod_a \mathcal{M}_{K^2}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q-1) \times \mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)) \quad (3.7)$$

where $a = sw_1(I)$. We thus get

$$\mathcal{M}^{0,0,c}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q)) = \coprod_{\substack{0 \leq d < 2g-2 \\ d \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \mathcal{M}^{d,c}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q)) \sqcup \coprod_a \mathcal{M}_{K^2}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q-1) \times \mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)). \quad (3.8)$$

The group $\mathrm{SO}(1, q - 1) \times \mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)$ is known as the Cayley partner to $\mathrm{SO}(2, q)$ and the objects in $\mathcal{M}_{K^2}^{a,c}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q - 1) \times \mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1))$ are called Cayley partners to the Higgs bundles in $\mathcal{M}^{\max,c}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q))$. Such Cayley partners are known to emerge in maximal components of $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{G})$ whenever G is the isometry group of a Hermitian symmetric space of tube type (see [3, 4]). Comparing to Theorem 2.5, we see that the exotic components in $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ are direct generalizations of these Cayley partners to the maximal components $\mathcal{M}^{\max,c}(\mathrm{SO}(2, q))$.

4. WHAT WE ACTUALLY PROVE – THE MAIN IDEAS

Theorem 2.5 shows not only that additional exotic components exist, but also gives a model which describes them. Indeed, given the model, the result is proved directly by constructing a suitable map from the model to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$. The model is itself built from moduli spaces (of K^j -twisted Higgs bundles), so that in both the domain and target of our map the points represent equivalence classes of objects. We first describe a map between the objects, and then show that it descends to the appropriate moduli spaces where it defines a homeomorphism onto a connected component.

The map relies in part on a parameterization of the Hitchin components of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p - 1, p))$. Viewing these moduli spaces as subspaces of $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(2p - 1, \mathbb{C}))$, the parameterization is given by a section of the Hitchin fibration for $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(2p - 1, \mathbb{C}))$. The fibration is defined by $\mathrm{SO}(2p - 1, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant polynomials evaluated on the Higgs field, giving a map to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{p-1} H^0(K^{2j})$. Hitchin showed in [14] that the fibration admits sections which parameterizes connected components of $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p - 1, p)) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(2p - 1, \mathbb{C}))$.

³For the group $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, q)$ the Milnor-Wood inequality is $2 - 2g \leq d \leq 2g - 2$. However, the automorphism switching the sign of the Toledo invariant (which is an outer automorphism for $\mathrm{SO}_0(2, q)$) can be realized as an $\mathrm{SO}(2, q)$ inner automorphism.

The $\mathrm{SO}(p, p-1)$ -Higgs bundles in the image of the section can be taken to be of the form $(\mathcal{K}_p, \mathcal{K}_{p-1}, \sigma(q_2, q_4, \dots, q_{2p-2}))$ where

$$\mathcal{K}_p = K^{p-1} \oplus K^{p-3} \oplus \dots \oplus K^{1-p}, \quad (4.1)$$

and σ is given by a map

$$\sigma : \bigoplus_{j=1}^n H^0(K^{2j}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{K}_p, \mathcal{K}_{p-1}) \otimes K. \quad (4.2)$$

Our Main Theorem is a consequence of the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let (I, W_0, η_p) be a K^p -twisted $\mathrm{SO}(1, q-p+1)$ -Higgs bundle and take differentials $q_{2j} \in H^0(K^{2j})$ for $j = 1, \dots, p-1$. Using the notation from (4.1), consider the $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle (V, W, η) defined by*

$$V = I \otimes \mathcal{K}_p, \quad W = W_0 \oplus I \otimes \mathcal{K}_{p-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \sigma(\vec{q}) \end{pmatrix} : W \rightarrow V \otimes K, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_p \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} : W_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_p \otimes I \otimes K$, $\vec{q} = (q_2, \dots, q_{2p-2})$ and σ is the map from (4.2).

(1) (V, W, η) is polystable if and only if (I, W_0, μ) is polystable. The map

$$((I, W_0, \mu); q_2, q_4, \dots, q_{2p-2}) \longmapsto (V, W, \eta)$$

thus descends to define a map

$$\Psi : \mathcal{M}_{K^p}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q-p+1)) \times \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \mathcal{M}_{K^{2i}}(\mathrm{SO}_0(1, 1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q)).$$

(2) The map Ψ is injective.

(3) The image of Ψ is open and closed.

(4) The image of Ψ is disjoint from the components $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$.

After showing that the $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle (4.3) is polystable if and only if (I, W_0, η_p) is a polystable K^p -twisted $\mathrm{SO}(1, q-p+1)$ -Higgs bundle, it is shown that two Higgs bundles in the form (4.3) lie in the same gauge orbit if and only if (I, W_0, η_p) lie in the same gauge orbit. This proves the map Ψ on moduli spaces is a homeomorphism onto its image. Moreover, a simple dimension count implies both the domain and the target of the map Ψ have the same dimension. If both spaces were smooth, then we would be done. However, this is not the case.

To prove the image of Ψ is open, we analyze the local structures of both the domain and target of the map Ψ . In particular, we show that the second hyper-cohomology groups in the deformation complexes vanish at all points of both the domain and image of the map. This technical result together with an appropriately equivariant isomorphism of the first hyper-cohomologies of the deformation complexes leads to openness of the map Ψ .

For the closedness of the map Ψ , we prove the contrapositive, i.e. we show that if Ψ fails to be a closed mapping, then we can find a divergent sequence of points $\{x_i\}$ in the domain such that $\{\Psi(x_i)\}$ converges in $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$. We use the properness of the Hitchin fibrations for $\mathcal{M}_{K^p}(\mathrm{SO}(1, q-p+1))$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q))$ to show that no such sequence exists. In particular, since the fibers of the Hitchin fibration are compact, if the sequence $\{x_i\}$ diverges, then the projection of the sequence onto the base of the fibration, say $\{y_i\}$, must diverge. Using the map induced on the Hitchin bases by Ψ , say $\hat{\Psi}$, if $\{y_i\}$ diverges, then so does the sequence $\{\hat{\Psi}(y_i)\}$, and hence so does the sequence $\{\Psi(x_i)\}$.

5. CONJECTURE

Representations in the so-called higher Teichmüller components of $\mathcal{R}(S, G)$ are examples of the important class of Anosov representations introduced by Labourie in [15]. As a result, they have many interesting geometric and dynamical properties, One important property of the set of Anosov representations is that they are open in the representation variety. They are however not closed in general.

Recently, Guichard and Wienhard [13] introduced the notion of a positive Anosov representation which refines the notion of an Anosov representation. Here positivity depends on a choice of parabolic subgroup of G , and like Anosov representations, positive representations are open in the representation variety. Guichard and Wienhard conjecture that the set of positive representations is also closed in the representation variety. As a result, positive representations define connected components of the representation variety which consist entirely of Anosov representations. In particular, these conjectured components are not distinguished by the primary topological invariants

For the two known families of higher Teichmüller components, this has been established. Namely, Hitchin representations are positive with respect to the Borel subgroup [15, 10] and, for a Hermitian group G of tube type, maximal representations are positive with respect to the parabolic subgroup which gives rise to the Shilov boundary of the Riemannian symmetric space of G [6]. Interestingly, for $p > q$, $SO(p, q)$ also admits a notion of positivity with respect to the generalized flag variety consisting of flags $V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{p-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+q}$ where V_j is an isotropic (with respect to a signature (p, q) inner product) j -plane.

It is natural to conjecture that the exotic connected components of Theorem 2.5 correspond to connected components of positive representations in $SO(p, q)$.

Conjecture 5.1. *Under the NAH correspondence, the exotic components identified in Theorem 2.5 correspond to the positive components conjectured to exist by Guichard-Wienhard.*

It is shown in [8] that many of the exotic components of $\mathcal{M}(SO(p, p+1))$ contain points corresponding to positive representations. Generalizing these techniques, we can show that for $q > p+1$ every exotic components of $\mathcal{M}(SO(p, q))$ contains Higgs bundles which correspond to positive representations. Thus, if Guichard and Wienhard’s conjecture on closedness of positive representations is true, it would imply the above conjecture and Theorem 2.5 would give a count of the connected components of positive representations.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge support from U.S. National Science Foundation grants DMS 1107452, 1107263, 1107367 “RNMS: GEometric structures And Representation varieties” (the GEAR Network). The fifth and sixth authors were partially supported by CMUP (UID/MAT/00144/2013) and the project PTDC/MAT-GEO/2823/2014 funded by FCT (Portugal) with national funds. The sixth author was also partially supported by the Post-Doctoral fellowship SFRH/BPD/100996/2014 funded by FCT (Portugal) with national funds. The fourth author was partially supported by the Spanish MINECO under ICMAT Severo Ochoa project No. SEV-2015-0554, and under grant No. MTM2013-43963-P.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aparicio Arroyo, *The Geometry of $SO(p, q)$ -Higgs Bundles*, Ph.D. Thesis, Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias de la Universidad de Salamanca, 2009.
- [2] D. Baraglia, L. Schaposnik, Cayley and Langlands type correspondences for orthogonal Higgs bundles, Preprint [arXiv:1708.08828](https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.08828).
- [3] O. Biquard, O. García-Prada, R. Rubio, Higgs bundles, the Toledo invariant and the Cayley correspondence, *J. Topology* **10** (2017), 795–826.

- [4] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada, P. B. Gothen, Maximal surface group representations in isometry groups of classical Hermitian symmetric spaces, *Geom. Dedicata* **122** (2006), 185–213.
- [5] S. B. Bradlow, O. García-Prada, P. B. Gothen, Higgs bundles for the non-compact dual of the special orthogonal group, *Geom. Dedicata* **175** (2015), 1–48.
- [6] M. Burger, A. Iozzi, F. Labourie, and A. Wienhard, Maximal representations of surface groups: symplectic Anosov structures, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **1** (No. 3, Special Issue: In memory of Armand Borel. Part 2) (2005), 555–601.
- [7] M. Burger, A. Iozzi, and A. Wienhard, Higher Teichmüller spaces: from $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ to other Lie groups, *Handbook of Teichmüller theory*, ed. A. Papadopoulos, vol. IV, (2012), 539–618.
- [8] B. Collier, $SO(n, n + 1)$ -surface group representations and Higgs bundles, Preprint [arXiv:1710.01287](https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.01287).
- [9] B. Collier, J. Toulisse, N. Tholozan, The geometry of maximal representations of surface groups into $SO(2, n)$, Preprint [arXiv:1702.08799](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.08799).
- [10] V. Fock and A. Goncharov, Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **103** (2006), 1–211.
- [11] O. García-Prada, P. B. Gothen, I. Mundet i Riera, The Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence, Higgs pairs and surface group representations, Preprint [arXiv:0909.4487](https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4487)v3.
- [12] P. Gothen, Components of spaces of representations and stable triples, *Topology* **40** (2001), 823–850.
- [13] O. Guichard, A. Wienhard, Positivity and higher Teichmüller theory, Proceedings of the 7th European Congress of Mathematics (2016).
- [14] N. J. Hitchin, Lie groups and Teichmüller space, *Topology* **31** (1992), 449–473.
- [15] F. Labourie, Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective space, *Invent. Math.* **165** (2006), 51–114.
- [16] A. H. W. Schmitt, *Geometric Invariant Theory and Decorated Principal Bundles*, Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, European Mathematical Society, 2008.

RAET — HR SOFTWARE AND SERVICES
 AVENIDA DE BRUSELAS 7, 28108 ALCOBENDAS, MADRID, SPAIN
E-mail address: Marta.Aparicio@raet.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN
 URBANA, IL 61801, USA
E-mail address: bradlow@math.uiuc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
 COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742, USA
E-mail address: briancollier01@gmail.com

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM,
 NICOLÁS CABRERA, 13–15, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN
E-mail address: oscar.garcia-prada@icmat.es

CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO,
 FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO,
 RUA DO CAMPO ALEGRE S/N, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: pbgothen@fc.up.pt

CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO,
 FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO,
 RUA DO CAMPO ALEGRE S/N, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL
On leave from:

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE TRÁS-OS-MONTES E ALTO DOURO, UTAD,
 QUINTA DOS PRADOS, 5000-911 VILA REAL, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: andre.oliveira@fc.up.pt
agoliv@utad.pt